

# THE FBI QUALITY ASSURANCE STANDARDS

## AUDIT FOR

### FORENSIC DNA TESTING LABORATORIES

IN ACCORDANCE WITH  
THE QUALITY ASSURANCE STANDARDS  
FOR  
FORENSIC DNA TESTING LABORATORIES  
EFFECTIVE SEPTEMBER 1, 2011

An Audit of: North Carolina State Crime Laboratory

Dates of Audit: June 13, 2016 – June 16, 2016

Auditor(s):

Nicole E. Harold  
(Name)

Nicole E. Harold  
(Signature)

Cathryn Shannon  
(Name)

Cathryn Shannon  
(Signature)

Angie M. Rainey  
(Name)

Angie M. Rainey  
(Signature)

Shiao-Mei Smith  
(Name)

Shiao-Mei Smith  
(Signature)

GLORIA D. HILL  
(Name)

Gloria D. Hill  
(Signature)

## Table of Contents

INTRODUCTION	3
INSTRUCTIONS FOR AUDITORS	5
CHECKLIST OF GENERAL LABORATORY INFORMATION	7
STANDARD 1 - SCOPE	8
STANDARD 2 - DEFINITIONS	8
STANDARD 3 - QUALITY ASSURANCE PROGRAM	14
STANDARD 4 - ORGANIZATION AND MANAGEMENT	19
STANDARD 5 - PERSONNEL	20
STANDARD 6 - FACILITIES	43
STANDARD 7 - EVIDENCE CONTROL	46
STANDARD 8 - VALIDATION	49
STANDARD 9 - ANALYTICAL PROCEDURES	55
STANDARD 10 - EQUIPMENT CALIBRATION AND MAINTENANCE	64
STANDARD 11 - REPORTS	67
STANDARD 12 - REVIEW	70
STANDARD 13 - PROFICIENCY TESTING	75
STANDARD 14 - CORRECTIVE ACTION	80
STANDARD 15 - AUDITS	81
STANDARD 16 - SAFETY	85
STANDARD 17 -OUTSOURCING	85
Appendix A: Findings and Responses	94
Appendix B: Technical Leader Contingency Plan Notification	95
Appendix C: Auditor Self-Certification	96
Appendix D: Personnel Qualifications	97
Appendix E: Approved Validations	99

# QUALITY ASSURANCE AUDIT DOCUMENT

## INTRODUCTION

The DNA Identification Act of 1994 required the formation of a panel of distinguished professionals, from the public and private sectors, to address issues relevant to forensic DNA applications. This panel, titled the DNA Advisory Board (DAB), first convened in 1995. An early mission of the DAB was to develop and implement quality assurance standards for use by forensic DNA testing laboratories. The scope was quickly expanded to include forensic DNA databasing laboratories as well. The DAB fulfilled this role, recommending separate documents detailing quality assurance standards for both applications. The "Quality Assurance Standards for Forensic DNA Testing Laboratories" and the "Quality Assurance Standards for Convicted Offender DNA Databasing Laboratories" were issued by the Director of the Federal Bureau of Investigation in October 1998 and April 1999, respectively. Both documents have become benchmarks for assessing the quality practices and performances of DNA laboratories throughout the country. When the Federal DNA Advisory Board's statutory term expired, it transferred responsibility for recommending revisions of these Quality Assurance Standards to the Scientific Working Group on DNA Analysis Methods (SWGDM).

The DNA Identification Act of 1994 also required that the FBI Laboratory ensure that all DNA laboratories that are federally operated, receive federal funds or participate in the National DNA Index System (NDIS) demonstrate compliance with the standards issued by the FBI. Typically documentation of a laboratory's compliance with a stated standard has been measured through an audit process. Such audits have been performed by forensic scientists, either internal or external to the laboratory, and serve to identify compliance with established standards.

Since the issuance of the original Quality Assurance Standards (QAS), the lack of a defined, uniform interpretation guide for such standards presented a potential problem between laboratories and auditors attempting to determine levels of compliance. In an effort to satisfy the responsibilities assigned through the DNA Identification Act and attempt to minimize interpretation variability, the FBI Laboratory developed an audit document for assessing compliance with the required standards of both documents. Recognizing the broad application of such an undertaking, the FBI Laboratory solicited input from multiple forensic DNA laboratories when developing the original Audit Document. This input included collaboration with members from two prominent international inspection/accreditation entities, the American Society of Crime Laboratory Directors/ Laboratory Accreditation Board (ASCLD/LAB) and the National Forensic Science Technology Center (NFSTC)<sup>1</sup>. To this end, this Audit Document was created by

---

<sup>1</sup> The National Forensic Science Technology Center (NFSTC) does not provide accreditation services. The two approved accrediting agencies for NDIS participation purposes are: the American Society of Crime Laboratory Directors/Laboratory Accreditation Board (ASCLD/LAB) and Forensic Quality Services (FQS).

the FBI Laboratory with the input, guidance and consensus from the above-mentioned groups.

The Audit Document defines and interprets each standard, with added discussion points clarifying the criteria necessary for compliance. Additionally, the document is structured such that criteria, which overlap between the FBI issued standards and the corresponding ASCLD/LAB elements, share a consistent interpretative view.

Effective with the July 2009 Audit Documents and for audits conducted in accordance with the Quality Assurance Standards effective July 1, 2009, separate Audit Documents will be used for forensic and databasing laboratories. If a laboratory performs both functions, each Audit Document must be completed and submitted to the laboratory at the conclusion of the audit process.

The rating system for assessing the laboratory with respect to each standard contains the choices of "Yes," "No" or "Not Applicable (N/A)." As indicated earlier, discussion sections follow standards, as appropriate, and serve to clarify the interpretation necessary for compliance. A comment section is also provided following the discussion areas, affording auditors the opportunity to reference information that may have value in the audit process (such as listing the reason for a "No" or "N/A"). In Appendix A, the findings associated with the audit will be detailed and summarized by the auditor, with an area available for response to such findings by the laboratory. Notes or comments, including observations and recommendations are better suited to be mentioned during the exit briefing with laboratory personnel or in a separate letter/memorandum to the laboratory so that these comments are not confused with comments relating to a Finding or an explanation of why a particular standard is not applicable.

**The revised discussions are not to be applied retroactively and will take effect September 1, 2011.**

## **Instructions to Audit Team Leaders and Auditors**

Thank you for participating in this important process intended to evaluate compliance with minimum standards for a quality program for performing forensic DNA analysis.

In a departure from the practices for completion of an audit under the original Quality Assurance Standards where the FBI Audit Document covered both forensic and databasing laboratories, for audits conducted in accordance with the Quality Assurance Standards effective July 1, 2009, separate Audit Documents will be used for forensic and databasing laboratories. If a laboratory performs both functions, each Audit Document must be completed and submitted to the laboratory at the conclusion of the audit process.

Once an external audit has been scheduled, the audit team leader should provide the laboratory being audited with the Checklist contained on the following pages and a request to provide this information as soon as possible. The audit team leader shall also request a certification (contained in Appendix C) from each auditor on the team prior to the beginning of the audit. The audit team leader shall review the checklist completed by the laboratory to ensure that the audit team contains the appropriate number of members to audit the laboratory and that the team members possess the necessary expertise required to audit that laboratory. An auditor or his or her employer who has a contractual relationship (exclusive of audits) with the laboratory being audited shall disclose this fact and recuse himself or herself from performing the audit. The audit team leader shall review the auditors' certifications for any potential conflicts of interest.

Prior to the commencement of the audit, please provide the laboratory with a copy of the auditor's certification for each auditor participating in the audit.

As a general rule, compliance with a standard is assessed through a review of the laboratory's documentation and interviews with laboratory staff. Documents may be in hard copy, electronic or a combination of both formats. Certificates of qualifications shall not be considered documentation of compliance with these Standards. Laboratory personnel's compliance with these standards shall be documented by the auditor(s) in Appendix D. A review of case reports for the laboratory shall include a number of case files randomly selected for each DNA analyst. As appropriate, a minimum of three to five cases per DNA analyst should be reviewed.

When conducting an audit, please keep in mind the following general guidelines:

- Potential issues concerning compliance should be directed to the laboratory's designated points of contact.
- Comments on the laboratory's operations should be reserved for the audit document if a "No" or "N/A" is marked and/or the exit interview with laboratory management; comments should not be made to laboratory staff.

- Contested or contentious issues should be brought to the attention of your audit team leader for follow-up, as necessary.

As a general rule,

- Issues deemed minor by the audit team that are addressed during the course of an audit (for example: date or position revisions of a laboratory's organizational chart) may be determined by the auditor to satisfy a noncompliance so that a "Yes" is marked for that Standard.
- Comments should not be included for Standards marked "Yes".
- Comments shall be included for Standards marked "No" or "N/A".
  - For a Standard marked "No", the comment shall describe the noncompliance with sufficient detail so that the laboratory can develop an appropriate corrective action for compliance.
  - For a Standard marked "N/A", the comment shall describe why that Standard is not applicable to that laboratory.

Questions concerning this Audit Document or a specific Standard should be directed to the FBI's Combined DNA Index System (CODIS) Unit.

After the audit is completed, the audit team leader or auditor(s) briefs DNA laboratory management and the DNA technical leader regarding the results. This briefing should verbally detail specific findings (noncompliances) and observations (general comments and/or recommendations), as well as recognize commendable performances. The written report should be prepared by the audit team leader and/or auditor(s) and sent to the laboratory within 30 days of the audit. The Audit Document Report consists of the completed Audit Document Checklist, with any areas of noncompliance listed under the Findings Section of Appendix A. All findings must be clearly identified and referenced to the appropriate standard.

**Recommendations must not be included in the Audit Document Report. Notes or comments, including observations and recommendations are better suited to be mentioned during the exit briefing with laboratory personnel or in a separate letter/memorandum to the laboratory so that these comments are not confused with comments relating to a Finding or an explanation of why a particular standard is not applicable.**

## Checklist of General Laboratory Information

1. Name of Laboratory: North Carolina State Crime Laboratory
2. Federal / **State** / Regional / County / Local / Other: \_\_\_\_\_  
Laboratory (Choose one)
3. Approximate Population Size Served: 10 million
4. Uses a Contract Laboratory: Yes / **No**  
Name of Contract Laboratory(ies): \_\_\_\_\_
5. NDIS Participant: **Yes** / No
6. Applying for NDIS Participation: Yes / No / **NA** (Choose one)
7. Technologies Used: (Choose those that apply)  
**STRs**  
YSTRs  
MtDNA  
Other: \_\_\_\_\_
8. Number of staff: (Casework and Data Bank)  
DNA analysts: Staff: 16 Contract: 0  
DNA trainees: Staff: 9 Contract: 0  
DNA technicians: Staff: 0 Contract: 0  
Laboratory support personnel: Staff: 0 Contract: 0  
DNA technical leader: 1  
On site: **Yes** /  
No  
Casework CODIS administrator: 1
9. Last audit conducted on: **June 16-19, 2015**  
**External** / Internal Audit (Choose one)
10. Audit Document Discussion Used (Revision Date): September 1, 2011

## Standard 1. Scope

The standards describe the quality assurance requirements that laboratories performing forensic DNA testing or using the Combined DNA Index System (CODIS) shall follow to ensure the quality and integrity of the data generated by the laboratory. These standards also apply to vendor laboratories that perform forensic DNA testing in accordance with Standard 17. These standards do not preclude the participation of a laboratory, by itself or in collaboration with others, in research and development on procedures that have not yet been validated.

## Standard 2. Definitions

As used in these standards, the following terms shall have the meanings specified:

**Accredited laboratory** is a DNA laboratory that has received formal recognition that it meets or exceeds a list of standards, including the FBI Director's Quality Assurance Standards, to perform specific tests, by a nonprofit professional association of persons actively involved in forensic science that is nationally recognized within the forensic community in accordance with the provisions of the Federal DNA Identification Act (42 U.S.C. § 14132) or subsequent laws.

**Accuracy** is the degree of conformity of a measured quantity to its actual (true) value.

**Administrative review** is an evaluation of the report and supporting documentation for consistency with laboratory policies and for editorial correctness.

**Analyst** (or equivalent role, position, or title as designated by the Laboratory Director) is an employee or contract employee, that has successfully completed the laboratory's training requirements for casework sample analysis, passed a competency test, and has entered into a proficiency testing program according to these Standards. This individual conducts and/or directs the analysis of forensic samples, interprets data, and reaches conclusions.

**Analytical documentation** is the documentation of procedures, standards, controls, and instruments used; observations made; results of tests performed; and charts, graphs, photos, and other documentation generated which are used to support the analyst's conclusions.

**Analytical procedure** is an orderly, step-by-step process designed to ensure operational uniformity and to minimize analytical drift.

**Annual** is once per calendar year.

**Audit** is an inspection used to evaluate, confirm, or verify activity related to quality.

**Biochemistry** is the study of the nature of biologically important molecules in living systems, DNA replication and protein synthesis, and the quantitative and qualitative aspects of cellular metabolism.

**Calibration** is the set of operations which establish, under specified conditions, the relationship between values indicated by a measuring instrument or measuring system, or values represented by a material, and the corresponding known values of a measurement.



**Casework CODIS administrator** (or equivalent role, position, or title as designated by the Laboratory Director) is an employee of the laboratory responsible for administration and security of the laboratory's CODIS at a laboratory performing DNA analysis on forensic and casework reference samples.

**Casework reference sample** is biological material obtained from a known individual and collected for purposes of comparison to forensic samples.

**CODIS** is the Combined DNA Index System administered by the FBI. CODIS links DNA evidence obtained from crime scenes, thereby identifying serial criminals. CODIS also compares crime scene evidence to DNA profiles from offenders, thereby providing investigators with the identity of the putative perpetrator. In addition, CODIS contains profiles from missing persons, unidentified human remains, and relatives of missing persons. There are three levels of CODIS: the Local DNA Index System (LDIS), used by individual laboratories; the State DNA Index System (SDIS), used at the state level to serve as a state's DNA database containing DNA profiles from LDIS laboratories; and the National DNA Index System (NDIS), managed by the FBI as the nation's DNA database containing all DNA profiles uploaded by participating states.

**Competency test(s)** is a written, oral, and/or practical test or series of tests designed to establish that an individual has demonstrated achievement of technical skills and met minimum standards of knowledge necessary to perform forensic DNA analysis.

**Competency** is the demonstration of technical skills and knowledge necessary to perform forensic DNA analysis successfully.

**Contamination** is the unintentional introduction of exogenous DNA into a DNA sample or PCR reaction.

**Continuing education** is an educational activity (such as a class, lecture series, conference, seminar, or short course) that is offered by a recognized organization or individual that brings participants up-to-date in their relevant area of knowledge.

**Contract employee** is an individual that performs DNA typing and/or analytical support services to the NDIS participating laboratory. The person performing these services must meet the relevant qualifications for the equivalent position in the NDIS participating laboratory. A contract employee cannot serve as a casework CODIS Administrator or technical leader and cannot be counted as a full-time qualified DNA analyst for purposes of satisfying the definition of a laboratory. Employment of a contract employee by multiple NDIS participating laboratories and/or vendor laboratories shall be disclosed and shall only be permitted subject to approval by the technical leader of the NDIS participating laboratory for which the contract employee is performing DNA typing and/or analytical services.

**Coursework** is an academic class officially recognized and taught through a college or university program in which the participating student successfully completed and received one or more credit hours for the class.

**Critical equipment or instruments** are those requiring calibration or a performance check prior to use and periodically thereafter.

**Critical reagents** are determined by empirical studies or routine practice to require testing on established samples before use on evidentiary or casework reference samples.

**Developmental validation** is the acquisition of test data and determination of conditions and limitations of a new or novel DNA methodology for use on forensic and/or casework reference samples.

**Differential amplification** is the selection of one target region or locus over another during the polymerase chain reaction. Differential amplification can also arise between two alleles within a single locus if one of the alleles has a mutation within a PCR primer-binding site, causing this allele to be copied less efficiently because of the primer-template mismatch.

**DNA record** is a database record that includes the DNA profile as well as data required to manage and operate NDIS, i.e., the Originating Agency Identifier, which serves to identify the submitting agency; the Specimen Identification Number; and DNA personnel associated with the DNA profile analyses.

**DNA type (also known as a DNA profile)** is the genetic constitution of an individual at defined locations (also known as loci) in the DNA. A DNA type derived from nuclear DNA typically consists of one or two alleles at several loci (e.g., short tandem repeat loci). The DNA type derived from mitochondrial DNA is described in relation to the revised Cambridge Reference Sequence (Nature Genetics [1999] 23:147).

**Employee** is a person (1) in the service of the applicable federal, state, or local government, subject to the terms, conditions, and rules of federal, state, or local employment and eligible for the federal, state, or local benefits of service; or (2) formerly in the service of a federal, state, or local government who returns to service in the agency on a part-time or temporary basis. For purposes of a vendor laboratory, an employee is a person in the service of a vendor laboratory and subject to the applicable terms, conditions, and rules of employment of the vendor laboratory.

**FBI** is the Federal Bureau of Investigation, the federal agency authorized by the DNA Identification Act of 1994 to issue quality assurance standards governing forensic DNA testing laboratories and to establish and administer the National DNA Index System (NDIS).

**Forensic DNA analysis** is the process of identification and evaluation of biological evidence in criminal matters using DNA technologies.

**Forensic sample** is a biological sample originating from and associated with a crime scene. For example, a sample associated with a crime scene may include a sample that has been carried away from the crime scene.

**Genetics** is the study of inherited traits, genotype/phenotype relationships, and population/species differences in allele and genotype frequencies.

**Guidelines** are a set of general principles used to provide direction and parameters for decision making.

**Integral component** is that portion of an academic course that is so significant and necessary to the understanding of the subject matter as a whole that the course would be considered incomplete without it.

**Internal validation** is the accumulation of test data within the laboratory to demonstrate that established methods and procedures perform as expected in the laboratory.

**Known samples** are biological material whose identity or type is established.

**Laboratory** is a facility (1) employing at least two full-time employees who are qualified DNA analysts and (2) having and maintaining the capability to perform the DNA analysis of forensic samples and/or casework reference samples at that facility.

**Laboratory support personnel** (or equivalent role, position, or title as designated by the Laboratory Director) are employees or contract employees who perform laboratory duties exclusive of analytical techniques on forensic or database samples.

**Methodology** is used to describe the analytical processes and procedures used to support a DNA-typing technology: for example, extraction methods (manual vs. automated), quantification methods (slot blot, fluorometry, real-time); typing test kit; and platform (capillary electrophoresis, real-time gel and end-point gel systems).

**Molecular biology** is the study of the theories, methods, and techniques used in the study and analysis of gene structure, organization, and function.

**Multilaboratory system** is used to describe an organization that has more than one laboratory performing forensic DNA analysis.

**Multiplex system** is a test providing for simultaneous amplification of multiple loci that is either prepared commercially or by a laboratory.

**Negative amplification control** is used to detect DNA contamination of the amplification reagents. This control consists of only amplification reagents without the addition of template DNA.

**NIST** is the National Institute of Standards and Technology.

**On-site visit** is a scheduled or unscheduled visit to the vendor laboratory work site by one or more representatives of an NDIS participating laboratory who is(are) a qualified or previously qualified DNA analyst(s) in the technology, platform and typing amplification test kit used to generate the DNA data, or designated FBI employee(s), to assess and document the vendor laboratory's ability to perform analysis on outsourced casework.

**Outsourcing** is the utilization of a vendor laboratory to provide DNA services in which the NDIS participating laboratory takes or retains ownership of the DNA data for entry into CODIS, when applicable. Outsourcing does not require the existence of a contractual agreement or the exchange of funds.

**Ownership** occurs when any of the following criteria are applicable:

1. The originating laboratory will use any samples, extracts, or materials from the vendor laboratory for the purposes of forensic testing (i.e., a vendor laboratory prepares an extract that will be analyzed by the originating laboratory);
2. The originating laboratory will interpret the data generated by the vendor laboratory;
3. The originating laboratory will issue a report on the results of the analysis; or
4. The originating laboratory will enter or search a DNA profile in CODIS from data generated by the vendor laboratory.

**Performance check** is a quality assurance measure to assess the functionality of laboratory instruments and equipment that affect the accuracy and/or validity of forensic sample analysis.

**Platform** is the type of analytical system utilized to generate DNA profiles, such as capillary electrophoresis, real-time gel, and end-point gel instruments or systems.

**Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR)** is an enzymatic process by which a specific region of DNA is replicated during repetitive cycles, which consist of the following:

1. Denaturation of the template;

2. Annealing of primers to complementary sequences at an empirically determined temperature; and
3. Extension of the bound primers by a DNA polymerase.

**Positive amplification control** is an analytical control sample that is used to determine if the PCR performed properly. This control consists of the amplification reagents and a known DNA sample.

**Precision** characterizes the degree of mutual agreement among a series of individual measurements, values, and/or results.

**Preferential amplification** is the unequal sampling of the two alleles present in a heterozygous locus primarily due to stochastic (random) fluctuation arising when only a few DNA molecules are used to initiate the polymerase chain reaction.

**Procedure** (protocol, standard operating procedure, or other equivalent) is an established practice to be followed in performing a specified task or under specific circumstances.

**Proficiency testing** is a quality assurance measure used to monitor performance and identify areas in which improvement may be needed. Proficiency tests may be classified as:

1. An internal proficiency test, which is produced by the agency undergoing the test.
2. An external proficiency test, which may be open or blind, is a test obtained from an approved proficiency test provider.

**Qualified auditor** is a current or previously qualified DNA analyst who has successfully completed the FBI's DNA auditor training course.

**Quality system** is the organizational structure, responsibilities, procedures, processes, and resources for implementing quality management.

**Quantitative PCR** is a method of determining the concentration of DNA in a sample by use of the polymerase chain reaction.

**Reagent blank control** is an analytical control sample that contains no template DNA and is used to monitor contamination from extraction to final fragment or sequence analysis. This control is treated the same as, and parallel to, the forensic and/or casework reference samples being analyzed.

**Reference material (certified or standard)** is a material for which values are certified by a technically valid procedure and accompanied by, or traceable to, a certificate or other documentation which is issued by a certifying body.

**Reproducibility** is the ability to obtain the same result when the test or experiment is repeated.

**Review** is an evaluation of documentation to check for consistency, accuracy, and completeness.

**Second agency** is an entity or organization external to and independent of the laboratory.

**Semiannual** is used to describe an event that takes place two times during one calendar year, with the first event taking place in the first six months of that year and the second event taking place in the second

six months of that year, and where the interval between the two events is at least four months and not more than eight months.

**Service** is the performance of those adjustments or procedures specified which are to be performed by the user, manufacturer, or other service personnel in order to ensure the intended performance of instruments and equipment.

**Technical leader** (or equivalent role, position, or title as designated by the Laboratory Director) is an employee who is accountable for the technical operations of the laboratory and who is authorized to stop or suspend laboratory operations.

**Technical review** is an evaluation of reports, notes, data, and other documents to ensure there is an appropriate and sufficient basis for the scientific conclusions.

**Technical reviewer** is an employee or contract employee who is a current or previously qualified analyst in the methodology being reviewed that performs a technical review of, and is not an author of, the applicable report or its contents.

**Technician** (or equivalent role, position, or title as designated by the Laboratory Director) is an employee or contract employee who performs analytical techniques on forensic samples under the supervision of a qualified analyst. Technicians do not interpret data, reach conclusions on typing results, or prepare final reports.

**Technology** is used to describe the type of forensic DNA analysis performed in the laboratory, such as RFLP, STR, YSTR, or mitochondrial DNA.

**Test kit** is a preassembled set of reagents that allows the user to conduct a specific DNA extraction, quantification, or amplification.

**Traceability** is the property of a result of a measurement whereby it can be related to appropriate standards, generally international or national standards, through an unbroken chain of comparisons.

**Underlying scientific principle** is a rule concerning a natural phenomenon or function that is a part of the basis used to proceed to more detailed scientific functions.

**Validation** is a process by which a procedure is evaluated to determine its efficacy and reliability for forensic casework analysis and includes the following:

1. Developmental validation is the acquisition of test data and determination of conditions and limitations of a new or novel DNA methodology for use on forensic samples.
2. Internal validation is an accumulation of test data within the laboratory to demonstrate that established methods and procedures perform as expected in the laboratory.

**Vendor laboratory** is a governmental or private laboratory that provides DNA analysis services to another laboratory or agency and does not take ownership of the DNA data for purposes of entry into CODIS.

**Work product** is the material that is generated as a function of analysis, which may include extracts, amplified product, and amplification tubes or plates as defined by the laboratory.

### Standard 3. Quality Assurance Program

	Yes	No	N/A
3.1 For the DNA laboratory's quality assurance program:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
a. Does the DNA laboratory have an established and maintained documented quality system that is appropriate to the testing activities?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
b. Is the quality system equivalent to or more stringent than what is required by these Standards?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

#### Discussion

To successfully satisfy Standard 3.1, compliance must be demonstrated with all of the subcategories of Standard 3.1.1.

A laboratory must have and follow a documented quality system.

*A **quality system** is the organizational structure, responsibilities, procedures, processes, and resources for implementing quality management.* This system must be appropriate to the testing activities performed by the laboratory. Various approaches may be used to demonstrate how a laboratory may accomplish this, as long as the system is clearly defined. A laboratory may have any of the following: (1) a system-wide quality manual; (2) multiple manuals that address individual elements of the quality system; or (3) a unit-specific quality manual that may reference the elements that are not contained within its unit's quality manual, but are contained within the system-wide manual. A laboratory may choose the format in which it maintains its quality system, as long as it is on-site and readily available to DNA personnel.

A laboratory's quality manual must be equivalent to or more stringent than the "Quality Assurance Standards (QAS) for Forensic DNA Testing Laboratories." If a laboratory has requirements more stringent than the QAS, it must be audited to the more stringent requirements. For example, if a laboratory is in compliance with these standards, but is not adhering to its own more stringent requirements, a "No" shall be marked.

#### Comment

		Yes	No	N/A
<b>3.1.1</b>	Is the quality system documented in a manual that includes or references the following elements:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>3.1.1.1</b>	Goals and objectives?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>3.1.1.2</b>	Organization and management?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>3.1.1.3</b>	Personnel?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>3.1.1.4</b>	Facilities?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>3.1.1.5</b>	Evidence control?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>3.1.1.6</b>	Validation?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>3.1.1.7</b>	Analytical procedures?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>3.1.1.8</b>	Equipment calibration and maintenance?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>3.1.1.9</b>	Reports?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>3.1.1.10</b>	Review?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>3.1.1.11</b>	Proficiency testing?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>3.1.1.12</b>	Corrective action?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>3.1.1.13</b>	Audits?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>3.1.1.14</b>	Safety?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>3.1.1.15</b>	Outsourcing?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

## Discussion

Standards 3.1.1.1 through 3.1.1.15 are elements of the quality system that a laboratory must ensure are documented or referenced in a quality manual(s). The laboratory may rely on laboratory-wide policies, procedures, and guidelines that address such elements, but must ensure that the laboratory references them. A laboratory must remember that any document referenced within the quality manual must be available on-site. The following are the elements as defined by 3.1.1.1 through 3.1.1.15 and what should be addressed within each of those elements. Further requirements for each element will be found within the corresponding standard.

- **Goals and objectives** must define, establish, or reference the goals and objectives for the laboratory.
- **Organization and management** must define, establish, or reference the organization and management structure of the laboratory, the interrelationship of the various DNA positions, as well as the responsibilities of personnel.

- **Personnel** must define, establish, or reference the training and qualifications required for each position within the laboratory and describe the continuing education program for the laboratory.
- **Facilities** must define, establish, or reference the laboratory's practices or procedures for laboratory security and its approach for maintaining the integrity of DNA analyses and evidence examination.
- **Evidence control** must define, establish, or reference the laboratory's procedures for handling and preserving evidence as well as the laboratory's definitions for what constitutes work product and evidence.
- **Validation** must define, establish, or reference the practices and procedures for implementing new methods used by the laboratory and the process for incorporating those new procedures.
- **Analytical procedures** must define, establish, or reference the use of current and approved standard operating procedures for validated methods.
- **Equipment calibration and maintenance** must define, establish, or reference the laboratory's program for conducting performance checks and calibrations of equipment and instruments and the laboratory must maintain a list of its critical instruments and/or equipment.
- **Reports** must define, establish, or reference the laboratory's procedure for how it maintains its case files, how it generates its laboratory reports, and its policy for describing how the laboratory maintains confidentiality and privacy when applicable to reports, case files, and DNA records and databases.
- **Review** must define, establish, or reference how the laboratory performs its technical and administrative review of all case files, the qualifications of personnel who perform reviews, review procedures associated with the upload of DNA data, as well as include a documented program for the annual testimony monitoring of its analysts.
- **Proficiency testing** must define, establish, or reference the laboratory's program for administering external proficiency tests to DNA personnel to the full extent in which they participate in casework.
- **Corrective action** must define, establish, or reference the laboratory's process for corrective action in casework and proficiency testing.
- **Audits** must define, establish, or reference the laboratory's program for participation in internal and external DNA audits.
- **Safety** must define, establish, or reference the laboratory's safety program.
- **Outsourcing** must define, establish, or reference the laboratory's procedures for outsourcing samples and ensuring the integrity of those samples. Laboratories shall



address this element, regardless of whether or not the laboratory outsources. For example, outsourcing may be referenced in the quality manual as “Not Applicable or NA” if the laboratory does not outsource any analyses.

## Comment

		Yes	No	N/A
<b>3.2</b>	Does the laboratory maintain and follow a procedure regarding document retention that specifically addresses:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
a.	Proficiency tests?	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>	
b.	Corrective action?	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>	
c.	Audits?	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>	
d.	Training records?	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>	
e.	Continuing education?	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>	
f.	Case files?	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>	
g.	Court testimony monitoring?	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>	

## Discussion

To successfully satisfy Standard 3.2, compliance must be demonstrated with all of the subcategories of Standard 3.2 (a-g).

The laboratory may address document retention through a single policy or a combination of several policies. However, document retention regarding each of the above-listed documents must be addressed.

## Comment

		Yes	No	N/A
3.3	Is the quality system as applicable to DNA reviewed annually (calendar year) independent of the audit required by Standard 15, and is the review performed under the direction and documented approval of the technical leader?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

## Discussion

The laboratory must demonstrate that an annual review of its quality system is performed under the direction and documented approval of its technical leader. This review must include the review of the quality manual, training manual, and procedures used by the laboratory and must be independent of the required annual audit. Annual review reports may identify areas in need of attention and provide the basis for changes to the quality system. Such changes may include new or improved quality-control activities for monitoring the quality of the laboratory work product. Additionally, significant modifications of forensic DNA testing, such as the incorporation of a new technology (***technology*** is used to describe the type of forensic DNA analysis performed in the laboratory, such as RFLP, STR, YSTR, or mitochondrial DNA), may necessitate reviewing or updating the quality system.

An annual review of the quality system is important for ensuring that measures are being taken by the laboratory to continually provide the highest quality of service.

This review must be independent of the audit requirement as stated in Standard 15.

## Comment

## Standard 4. Organization and Management

		Yes	No	N/A
4.1	Does the laboratory have:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
4.1.1	A managerial staff with the authority and resources needed to discharge its duties and meet the requirements of the Standards in this document?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
4.1.2	A technical leader who is accountable for the technical operations?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	a. Have at least one technical leader in a multi - laboratory system?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
4.1.3	A casework CODIS administrator who is accountable for CODIS on-site at each individual laboratory facility using CODIS?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
4.1.4	At least two full-time employees who are qualified DNA analysts?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
4.1.5	Documentation that specifies the responsibility, authority, and interrelation of all personnel who manage, perform, or verify work affecting the validity of the DNA analysis?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
4.1.6	A documented contingency plan that is approved by laboratory management if the technical leader position is vacated?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

### Discussion

**Laboratory** is a facility (1) employing at least two full-time employees who are qualified DNA analysts and (2) having and maintaining the capability to perform the DNA analysis of forensic samples and/or casework reference samples at that facility.

To successfully satisfy Standard 4.1, compliance must be demonstrated with all of the subcategories of Standard 4.1.

As a tool in the evaluation of the management standards, laboratories must maintain a current organizational chart. The organizational chart may reference specific personnel by name with their specific position assignments (e.g., technical leader, casework CODIS administrator), or the organizational chart may reference the specific position assignments. If the organizational chart references the specific position assignments, it must be augmented with the job description for the member of the laboratory assigned to the specific position. Job descriptions must be current and available for all laboratory

personnel, accurately defining the technical and/or administrative responsibilities associated with each position (see Standard 5 - Personnel).

The role of a technical leader does not preclude, for example, the existence of additional program or technical leaders, each of whom may be assigned a subset of clearly defined duties (e.g., training program manager, quality assurance program manager). However, a single DNA technical leader, as defined in the laboratory's organizational chart, will retain the ultimate DNA-related authority and oversight responsibility. Standard 5.2.3.1 and its subcategories must be satisfied in order to demonstrate that the technical leader is accountable for the technical operations.

Standard 5.3.5 must be satisfied in order to demonstrate that the casework CODIS administrator is accountable for CODIS operations on-site at each individual laboratory facility using CODIS.

Standards 5.4 and 5.4.1 and its subcategories must be satisfied in order to demonstrate that the DNA analysts are full-time employees and are qualified. Contract employees cannot be counted when determining if a laboratory satisfies the two full-time employee requirement of Standard 4.1.4.

The laboratory must have a documented contingency plan in place, approved by laboratory management, for a vacancy in the technical leader position. This plan may be a single policy or a combination of several policies. A contingency plan should include or address the appropriate notifications naming an individual who may serve in this position, the time period that individual may serve, and how the laboratory will proceed if no one is qualified.

#### **Comment**

**4.1.2a is marked N/A because it is not a multi-DNA laboratory system at this time.**

## **Standard 5. Personnel**

	<b>Yes</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>N/A</b>
<b>5.1</b> Do laboratory personnel have the education, training, and experience commensurate with the examination and testimony provided?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

#### **Discussion**

To successfully satisfy Standard 5.1, compliance must be demonstrated with all of the subcategories of Standard 5.

A list of the individuals in compliance with Standard 5.1 and the position with which they are in compliance will be incorporated by the auditor into Appendix D. Appendix D shall be completed by auditors conducting external QAS audits. The credentials for those individuals found to be in compliance with Standard 5.1 after two successive external audits are not required to be reviewed in subsequent audits. However, this in no way prohibits the auditor from performing such additional reviews as that auditor(s) may deem appropriate or necessary.

### Comment

		Yes	No	N/A
5.1.1	Does the laboratory have written job descriptions for all personnel to include responsibilities, duties, and skills?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

### Discussion

Written job descriptions that are augmented by other documentation that includes responsibilities, duties, and skills are acceptable.

### Comment

		Yes	No	N/A
5.1.2	Does the laboratory have a documented training program for qualifying all analyst(s) and technician(s)?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
5.1.2.1	Does the training program contain at a minimum the following components:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	a. A training manual that covers all applicable DNA analytical procedures that the analyst/technician will perform?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	b. Practical exercises that include the examination of a range of samples routinely encountered in casework?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

- |                  |   |                                     |                          |                          |
|------------------|---|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| <b>5.1.2.2</b>   | Does the laboratory's training program teach and assess the technical skills and knowledge required to perform DNA analysis and include, at a minimum, the following?   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| <b>5.1.2.2.1</b> | Does the training program require the documentation of the successful completion of a competency test(s)?   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| <b>5.1.2.2.2</b> | For an analyst or technician with previous forensic experience:   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| a.               | Did the technical leader assess and document the adequacy of the previous training of the analyst and/or technician?  | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| b.               | Did the analyst and/or technician complete a modified training program that was assessed and documented by the technical leader?  | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| <b>5.1.2.2.3</b> | Prior to participating in independent casework did all analysts and technicians, regardless of previous experience, successfully complete a competency test(s) covering the routine DNA methodologies to be used? | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |

## Discussion

A laboratory's training program must teach and assess the skills and knowledge required to achieve the minimum standards of competence and good laboratory practice in a specific area of work. Training must include all methodologies that the analyst will perform in casework analysis. **Methodology** is used to describe the analytical processes and procedures used to support a DNA-typing technology: for example, extraction methods (manual vs. automated), quantification methods (slot blot, fluorometry, real-time); typing test kit; and platform (capillary electrophoresis, real-time gel and end-point gel systems).

Any newly validated methodology implemented by the laboratory (as defined by Standard 8) must be incorporated into the laboratory's training program prior to the training of personnel in the new methodology or during the next annual review (whichever is earliest).

The laboratory must have available for review a documented training program that includes training records for each trainee. Additionally, the laboratory must have documentation that provides a formal means for recognizing an individual's successful

completion of the training program (e.g., certificate, letter, memoranda) and demonstration of competency, typically through a test.

The measure of an individual's competency should be defined within the laboratory's training program.

***A competency test(s)*** is a written, oral, and/or practical test or series of tests designed to establish that an individual has demonstrated achievement of technical skills and met minimum standards of knowledge necessary to perform forensic DNA analysis. Such a test serves to test an individual's knowledge, skills, and abilities as they relate to his or her individual position. A laboratory may select from a variety of approaches for administering a competency test, including but not limited to a written, oral, or practical examination. If a laboratory uses an internal or external proficiency test as a competency test, the laboratory must have the DNA typing results to assess an individual's performance. The date of qualification of an individual must be documented. The qualification date has particular relevance to proficiency testing requirements discussed in Standard 13 (Proficiency Testing), which requires that newly qualified individuals participate in an external proficiency test within six months of qualification date.

It is the technical leader's responsibility to evaluate, approve and document the adequacy of previous training for any staff member who has not otherwise completed the laboratory's formal training program. Examples may include, but are not limited to, the hiring of fully trained personnel from a separate organization or the assignment of experienced forensic DNA caseworking analysts to validate a new DNA testing procedure. All individuals, regardless of previous training and experience, must successfully complete a competency test for the specific DNA methodology to be used at the current laboratory prior to assuming casework responsibilities. Additionally, the contract employee must complete or be deemed to have satisfied the portions of the training program that are relevant to the duties/services he/she will be performing for the NDIS laboratory. Successful completion of an employee's or contract employee's competency test must be documented.

Qualified analysts who have been on leave for a period that takes them out of the proficiency test cycle, must be evaluated and complete any necessary training, as well as a competency test, prior to resuming casework.

## **Comment**

		Yes	No	N/A
<b>5.1.3</b>	Does the laboratory have a documented program to ensure that technical qualifications are maintained through continuing education?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>5.1.3.1</b>	Does the technical leader, casework CODIS administrator, and each analyst have documented attendance at seminars, courses, professional meetings, or documented training sessions/classes that consist of:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	a. Subject areas relevant to the developments in DNA typing?			
	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>			
	b. Cumulative minimum of eight hours per calendar year?			
	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>			
<b>5.1.3.1.1</b>	For continuing education conducted internally, does the laboratory's retained documentation include the following:	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	a. Title of the program? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>			
	b. A record of the presentation? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>			
	c. Date of the training? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>			
	d. Attendance list? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>			
	e. Curriculum vitae of the presenter(s)? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>			
<b>5.1.3.1.2</b>	For continuing education conducted externally, does the laboratory's retained documentation include one or more of the following:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	a. Certificate of attendance?			
	b. Program agenda/syllabus?			
	c. Travel documentation?			
<b>5.1.3.1.3</b>	For continuing education that is based on multimedia or Internet delivery:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	a. Was the training subject to the review of, and approved by, the technical leader?			
	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>			



- b. Was the time required to complete the program formally recorded in the laboratory's retained document?

Yes ☒ No ☐

- c. Was the completion submitted to the technical leader for review and approval?

Yes ☒ No ☐

**5.1.3.2** For the review of scientific literature:

- a. Does the laboratory have a program, approved by the technical leader, for the annual review of scientific literature that documents the ongoing reading of scientific literature? ☒ ☐ ☐
- b. Does the laboratory maintain or have physical or electronic access to a collection of current books, reviewed journals, or other literature applicable to DNA analysis? ☒ ☐ ☐

## Discussion

**Continuing education** is an educational activity (such as a class, lecture series, conference, seminar, or short course) that is offered by a recognized organization or individual that brings participants up-to-date in their relevant area of knowledge. Journal or other review sessions (i.e., meetings or literature) are not considered continuing education.

The laboratory's continuing education program must be documented. To comply with this Standard, laboratory management must provide technical personnel with the opportunity to stay abreast of new developments and issues in the field of DNA analysis. The laboratory must provide the technical leader, casework CODIS administrator, and all analysts with continuing education in a subject area related to DNA analysis annually.

Generally, regardless of where the continuing education takes place, internally provided continuing education would be presented by members of the laboratory system and externally provided continuing education would be presented by persons external to the laboratory.

Although such continuing education should be formalized, this does not necessarily require earned credit hours or grade evaluations, although this would be acceptable. Attendance at, and appropriate content to meet this criteria, may be documented through certificates of attendance, program agenda/syllabi or travel authorizations. Participation and completion of programs based on multimedia or Internet delivery must

be formally recorded and approved by the technical leader. This documentation must include the time required to complete the program.

The continuing education must consist of a cumulative minimum of eight hours annually. Attendance at regional, national, or international meetings or conferences shall be deemed to provide a minimum of eight hours of continuing education.

The laboratory must describe its process for the annual review of scientific literature, including how personnel will document their ongoing reading of the literature.

**Comment**

**5.1.3.1.1 is marked N/A because this laboratory did not conduct internal continuing education training.**

		Yes	No	N/A
<b>5.1.4</b>	Does the laboratory maintain records on the relevant qualifications, training, skills, and experience of all technical personnel?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

**Discussion**

The laboratory must verify the degree obtained and coursework completed for technical personnel. Transcripts and other appropriate documentation must be available to the auditors for assessing an individual's qualifications. Technical personnel's skills and experience must be documented through a curriculum vitae or other means, such as a statement of qualifications.

**Comment**

		Yes	No	N/A
<b>5.2</b>	Does the technical leader satisfy the requirements for degree/education, experience, and duties listed in Standards 5.2.1 through 5.2.4.1?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>5.2.1</b>	Does the technical leader of the laboratory meet or exceed the following degree/educational requirements?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

- |                |  |   |                             |                                     |
|----------------|--|---|-----------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| a.             | A master's degree in a biology-, chemistry-, or forensic science-related area or have a waiver as stated in Standard 5.2.1.4?  | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>     | <input type="checkbox"/>    | <input type="checkbox"/>            |
| b.             | Twelve semester hours or equivalent credit hours including a combination of graduate and undergraduate course work or classes covering the following subject areas:  | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>     | <input type="checkbox"/>    | <input type="checkbox"/>            |
| 1.             | Biochemistry?  | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |                                     |
| 2.             | Genetics?  | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |                                     |
| 3.             | Molecular biology?   | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |                                     |
| 4.             | Statistics or population genetics?   | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |                                     |
| <b>5.2.1.1</b> | Of the 12 semester or equivalent credit hours required, do they include at least one graduate-level course registering 3 or more semester or equivalent credit hours?  | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>     | <input type="checkbox"/>    | <input type="checkbox"/>            |
| <b>5.2.1.2</b> | Do each of the specific subject areas listed in Standard 5.2.1 constitute an integral component of any coursework used to demonstrate compliance with this Standard?   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>     | <input type="checkbox"/>    | <input type="checkbox"/>            |
| <b>5.2.1.3</b> | For individuals who have completed coursework with titles other than those listed in Standard 5.2.1, have they successfully demonstrated compliance with this Standard through a combination of pertinent materials such as a transcript, syllabus, letter from the instructor, or other documentation that supports the course content? | <input type="checkbox"/>                | <input type="checkbox"/>    | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |

## Discussion

For technical leaders appointed or hired on or after July 1, 2009, a minimum of four courses (biochemistry, genetics, molecular biology and statistics or population genetics) totaling at least 12 semester or equivalent credit hours must be completed successfully (college- or university-determined passing grade).

**Biochemistry** is the study of the nature of biologically important molecules in living systems, DNA replication and protein synthesis, and the quantitative and qualitative aspects of cellular metabolism.

**Genetics** is the study of inherited traits, genotype/phenotype relationships, and population/species differences in allele and genotype frequencies.

**Molecular biology** is the study of the theories, methods, and techniques used in the study and analysis of gene structure, organization, and function.

**Integral component** is that portion of an academic course that is so significant and necessary to the understanding of the subject matter as a whole that the course would be considered incomplete without it.

Each of the required subject areas must be in the form of academic coursework for credit. **Coursework** is an academic class officially recognized and taught through a college or university program in which the participating student successfully completed and received one or more credit hours for the class.

A variety of college course work may apply toward satisfying this Standard and is not limited exclusively to the course titles listed. Coursework in Standard 5.2.1.2 shall be considered as meeting the integral component requirement if the coursework consists of the title listed in Standard 5.2.1b (biochemistry, genetics, molecular biology and statistics or population genetics).

For a technical leader who possesses a waiver (Standard 5.2.1.4) but does not satisfy the required graduate coursework in Standard 5.2.1.1, then Standard 5.2.1.1 shall be marked "N/A."

The DNA training program previously offered by the FBI Laboratory, with graduate credit hours from the University of Virginia, may be applied toward the molecular biology coursework requirement associated with this Standard. Unless specifically stated by the FBI, other FBI courses do not fulfill this requirement.

A list of the individuals in compliance with Standard 5.2 and the position with which they are in compliance will be incorporated by the auditor into Appendix D for external QAS audits.

#### **Comment**

**5.2.1.3 is marked N/A because the coursework completed complied with the courses listed in Standard 5.2.1b.**

Yes No N/A

- 5.2.1.4** If the degree requirements of Standard 5.2.1 are not met, does the technical leader possess a waiver from the American Society of Crime Laboratory Directors (ASCLD)? ☐ ☐ ☒

### Discussion

Compliance with Standard 5.2.1.4 is necessary only if criteria 5.2.1a has not otherwise been satisfied. Otherwise, the response to 5.2.1.4 shall be marked "N/A."

The ASCLD waiver is permanent and portable. Documentation of the waiver must be available.

### Comment

**5.2.1.4 is marked N/A because the degree requirements of 5.2.1 were met.**

	Yes	No	N/A
<b>5.2.2</b> Technical leader minimum experience requirements:			
a. Does the technical leader have three years of forensic DNA laboratory experience obtained at a laboratory where forensic DNA testing was conducted for the identification and evaluation of biological evidence in criminal matters?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
b. Does any technical leader, appointed or hired on or after July 1, 2009, have a minimum of three years human-DNA experience (current or previous) as a qualified analyst on forensic samples?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
c. Has the technical leader successfully completed, or will successfully complete within one year of appointment, the FBI-sponsored auditor training?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

### Discussion

Technical leaders appointed or hired on or after July 1, 2009 must have a minimum of three years of human-DNA experience (current or previous) as a qualified analyst on forensic samples.

Technical leaders appointed or hired prior to July 1, 2009, must have a minimum of three years of forensic DNA experience (current or previous). This would include criminal justice agencies where forensic research/training and caseworking laboratories are separate entities but reside under the same facility-wide organizational umbrella. It is not necessary for the technical leader to function (or to have functioned) as a qualified analyst if appointed or hired prior to July 1, 2009. If the technical leader was appointed or hired prior to July 1, 2009, satisfaction of the minimum experience requirements shall only be applicable to the specific laboratory system where the technical leader is employed prior to July 1, 2009 and shall not be portable.

It should be noted that the experience time frame is measured not by the number of years with any particular employer but rather by the number of years in a position specific for gaining the experience necessary to satisfy this Standard.

Technical leaders appointed or hired on or after July 1, 2009 must demonstrate compliance with Standard 5.2.2b through documented employment as a qualified analyst. Documentation may include previous audit documentation of qualifications. If no technical leader was appointed or hired on or after July 1, 2009, then Standard 5.2.2b shall be marked "N/A."

For those instances in which a technical leader has an experience base in a specific DNA technology that is different from the DNA technology currently used in casework analysis, the laboratory must demonstrate that the technical leader has fulfilled his or her defined duties and keeps abreast of technical developments.

The technical leader shall have previously completed, or will successfully complete within one year of his or her appointment, the FBI sponsored auditor training. Evidence of successful completion of the FBI DNA Auditor training will be assessed through an FBI-issued certificate. If the technical leader has recently been appointed to the position and the applicable time period for the training has not expired, then Standard 5.2.2c shall be marked "N/A."

## Comment

	Yes	No	N/A
<b>5.2.3</b> Does the technical leader of the laboratory have responsibility for the following:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

<b>5.2.3.1</b>	Does the technical leader have the following general duties and authority:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>5.2.3.1.1</b>	Oversee the technical operations of the laboratory?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>5.2.3.1.2</b>	Authority to initiate, suspend, and resume DNA analytical operations for the laboratory or an individual?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>5.2.3.2</b>	Does the technical leader perform the following specific responsibilities:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>5.2.3.2.1</b>	Evaluate and document approval of all validations and methods used by the laboratory and propose new or modified analytical procedures to be used by analysts?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>5.2.3.2.2</b>	Review and document the review of the academic transcripts and training records for newly qualified analysts and approve their qualifications prior to their conducting independent casework analysis?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>5.2.3.2.3</b>	Approve the technical specifications for outsourcing agreements?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>5.2.3.2.4</b>	Review and document the review of internal and external DNA audit documents and, if applicable, approve corrective action(s).	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>5.2.3.2.5</b>	Review annually the procedures of the laboratory and document such review?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>5.2.3.2.6</b>	Review and approve the training, quality assurance, and proficiency testing programs in the laboratory?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>5.2.3.2.7</b>	Review requests by contract employees for employment by multiple NDIS participating and/or vendor laboratories and, if no potential conflict of interest exist, may approve such requests?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

## Discussion

To successfully satisfy Standards 5.2.3.1 through 5.2.3.1.2, the laboratory must clearly define and document the technical leader's duties and authority.

Standard 5.2.3 contains the minimum responsibilities of the technical leader and may be exceeded as determined by laboratory management.

To successfully satisfy Standard 5.2.3, compliance must be demonstrated with all of the subcategories of Standard 5.2.3. Auditors may assess whether a laboratory has satisfied the requirements through a review of laboratory documentation (e.g., protocols, quality manual).

A contract employee shall disclose any employment with another laboratory to the NDIS participating laboratory. The technical leader shall review such employment for any potential conflicts of interest. If there are no potential conflicts of interest, the technical leader may approve the employment by multiple NDIS participating and/or vendor laboratories. For example, Vendor Laboratory A performs the forensic analysis of DNA samples for State Laboratory Z. An employee of Vendor Laboratory A shall not perform technical review services for State Laboratory Z as this would constitute a conflict of interest.

## Comment

		Yes	No	N/A
<b>5.2.4</b>	Technical leader accessibility:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	a. Is the technical leader accessible to the laboratory to provide on-site, telephonic, or electronic consultation as needed?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	b. If the technical leader oversees a system of separate laboratories, has the technical leader conducted semiannual on-site visits of each of the laboratories?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<b>5.2.4.1</b>	Is the technical leader a full-time employee of the laboratory or laboratory system?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>



- 5.2.4.1.1** a. If the technical leader position of the laboratory had been vacant since the last audit, was there a qualified individual immediately appointed as technical leader? ☒ ☐ ☐
- b. If a qualified individual was not available/ appointed, did the laboratory immediately contact the FBI and submit its contingency plan within 14 days of the vacancy for the FBI's approval? ☐ ☐ ☒
- c. Was all new casework suspended until the plan was approved by the FBI? ☐ ☐ ☒
- 5.2.5** Did each technical leader appointed or hired on or after July 1, 2009, document a review of the following:
- 5.2.5.1** Validation studies and methodologies currently used by the laboratory? ☒ ☐ ☐
- 5.2.5.2** Educational qualifications and training records of currently qualified analysts? ☒ ☐ ☐

## Discussion

The technical leader must be a full-time employee (**employee is a person (1) in the service of the applicable federal, state, or local government, subject to the terms, conditions, and rules of federal, state, or local employment and eligible for the federal, state, or local benefits of service...**) of the laboratory although not required to occupy physical (on-site) facility space. For purposes of a vendor laboratory, an employee is a person in the service of a vendor laboratory and subject to the applicable terms, conditions, and rules of employment of the vendor laboratory. Full-time shall be considered the standard work week as defined by the laboratory.

However, if the technical leader oversees a system of separate laboratories, a minimum of two semiannual on-site visits must be conducted and documented for each laboratory. The technical leader must demonstrate knowledge and oversight of the DNA program sufficient to ensure that each laboratory is following standards and written protocols.

If a contingency plan was submitted to the FBI, then documentation must be reviewed to ensure that DNA analytical procedures on new casework were not initiated until FBI approval was granted. New casework is casework in which DNA analytical procedures have not been initiated at the time of the technical leader's vacancy. Please refer to Appendix B for the Notification Form for Technical Leader Contingency Plan.

If the technical leader position has not been vacant since the last audit, then Standard 5.2.4.1.1 shall be marked "N/A." If the technical leader position was vacant but filled by a qualified individual, then Standards 5.2.4.1.1 b and c shall be marked "N/A."

If the technical leader position has not been assumed by a newly appointed technical leader since the last audit, then Standards 5.2.5, 5.2.5.1 and 5.2.5.2 shall be marked "N/A."

**Comment**

**Standard 5.2.4b is marked N/A because the lab does not have a system of separate DNA laboratories at this time.**

**Standard 5.2.4.1.1b and c are marked N/A because a qualified individual was appointed.**

		Yes	No	N/A
<b>5.3</b>	Is the casework CODIS administrator an employee of the laboratory and does he or she meet the following qualifications?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>5.3.1</b>	Education: Does the casework CODIS administrator meet the minimum education requirements?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	a. Does the casework CODIS administrator meet the minimum education requirements as defined in Standard 5.4			
	or			
	b. Was the casework CODIS administrator appointed or hired prior to July 1, 2009, with supporting documentation from the FBI?			
<b>5.3.2</b>	Experience: Does the casework CODIS administrator meet the experience requirements?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	a. Is a current or previously qualified casework DNA analyst with documented mixture interpretation training, or			
	b. Was the casework CODIS administrator appointed or hired prior to July 1, 2009 with documented mixture-interpretation training and completion of FBI-sponsored CODIS training?			

## Discussion

If performing an audit of a vendor laboratory, the auditor shall mark Standard 5.3 and all of its subcategories shall be marked "N/A."

If a casework CODIS administrator appointed or hired prior to July 1, 2009, has the appropriate supporting documentation from the FBI, Standard 5.3.1 and 5.3.2 shall be marked "YES." Satisfaction of these minimum education and experience requirements shall be applicable to the specific laboratory system where the casework CODIS administrator is employed by prior to July 1, 2009 and shall not be portable.

A casework CODIS administrator appointed or hired on or after July 1, 2009, must be, or have been, a qualified DNA analyst. Casework CODIS administrators appointed or hired on or after July 1, 2009, and not otherwise grandfathered as a qualified analyst, will be assessed to the educational requirements of the FBI Quality Assurance Audit Document for Forensic DNA Testing Laboratories dated July 1, 2009. For casework CODIS administrators appointed or hired on or after July 1, 2009, and not previously qualified as a DNA analyst in that laboratory, a minimum of three courses (biochemistry, genetics, and molecular biology) totaling at least nine semester or equivalent credit hours must be completed successfully (college- or university-defined passing grade) and coursework or training in statistics and/or population genetics.

Casework CODIS administrators may satisfy the statistics and/or population genetics coursework or training requirement of Standard 5.4.1 through internal or external training. For external statistics and/or population genetics training, a variety of methods may be used, including academic coursework; workshops at local, national, or international meetings or symposia; or other external, technical leader-approved, training courses. The laboratory must maintain documentation of such attendance. For internal statistics and/or population genetics training, the documentation must comply with Standard 5.1.3.1.1.

Mixture interpretation training may be provided by the laboratory in-house and documented.

**Biochemistry** is the study of the nature of biologically important molecules in living systems, DNA replication and protein synthesis, and the quantitative and qualitative aspects of cellular metabolism.

**Genetics** is the study of inherited traits, genotype/phenotype relationships, and population/species differences in allele and genotype frequencies.

**Molecular biology** is the study of the theories, methods, and techniques used in the study and analysis of gene structure, organization, and function.

The casework CODIS administrator shall be an employee of the laboratory. **Employee** is a person (1) in the service of the applicable federal, state, or local government, subject to the terms, conditions, and rules of federal, state, or local employment and eligible for the federal, state, or local benefits of service; or (2) formerly in the service of a federal, state, or local government who returns to service in the agency on a part-time or temporary basis. For purposes of a vendor laboratory, an employee is a person in the service of a vendor laboratory and subject to the applicable terms, conditions, and rules of employment of the vendor laboratory.

**Integral component** is that portion of an academic course that is so significant and necessary to the understanding of the subject matter as a whole that the course would be considered incomplete without it.

Each of the required subject areas must be in the form of academic coursework for credit. **Coursework** is an academic class officially recognized and taught through a college or university program in which the participating student successfully completed and received one or more credit hours for the class.

A variety of college course work may apply toward satisfying this Standard and is not limited exclusively to the course titles listed.

A list of the individuals in compliance with Standard 5.3 and the position with which they are in compliance will be incorporated by the auditor into Appendix D for external QAS audits.

## Comment

		Yes	No	N/A
<b>5.3.3</b>	Has the casework CODIS administrator:			
	a. Successfully completed the FBI auditor training within one year of appointment, if not previously attended such training?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	b. Participated in the FBI sponsored CODIS software training within six months of appointment, if not previously attended such training?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>5.3.4</b>	Is the casework CODIS administrator responsible for the following:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

<b>5.3.4.1</b>	Administering the laboratory's local CODIS network?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>5.3.4.2</b>	Scheduling and documenting the CODIS computer training of casework analysts?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>5.3.4.3</b>	Assuring that the security of data stored in CODIS is in accordance with state and/or federal law and NDIS operational procedures?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>5.3.4.4</b>	Assuring that the quality of data stored in CODIS is in accordance with state and/or federal law and NDIS operational procedures?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>5.3.4.5</b>	Assuring that matches are dispositioned in accordance with NDIS operational procedures?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>5.3.5</b>	Is the casework CODIS administrator authorized to terminate an analyst's or the laboratory's participation in CODIS until the reliability and security of the computer data can be assured if an issue with the data is identified?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>5.3.6</b>	If the casework CODIS administrator position has been unoccupied since the last audit, has the laboratory refrained from uploading new DNA profiles to NDIS during the vacancy?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

## Discussion

If the casework CODIS administrator has recently been appointed to the position and the applicable time periods for the training have not expired, then Standard 5.3.3a and/or 5.3.3b shall be marked "N/A."

Standards 5.3.4 and 5.3.5 are the minimum responsibilities of the casework CODIS administrator.

To successfully satisfy Standard 5.3.5, the laboratory must clearly define and document the casework CODIS administrator's duties and authority. Auditors may assess whether a laboratory has satisfied the requirements through a review of laboratory documentation (e.g., protocols, quality manual).

If the casework CODIS administrator position has not been vacant since the last audit, then Standard 5.3.6 shall be marked "N/A." If there has been a period of time since July 1, 2009 during which the position has been vacant, the auditor may review/request to see CODIS upload records or contact the NDIS Custodian for confirmation.

## Comment

**Standard 5.3.6 is marked N/A because the casework CODIS administrator position has not been unoccupied since the last audit.**

		Yes	No	N/A
<b>5.4</b>	Is each analyst an employee or contract employee of the laboratory and does he or she meet or exceed the following qualifications?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>5.4.1</b>	Does each analyst meet or exceed the following degree and educational requirements:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	a. B.A./B.S. or advanced degree or its equivalent in a biology-, chemistry-, or forensic science- related area?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	b. College coursework or classes covering the subject areas of:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	1. Biochemistry? Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>			
	2. Genetics? Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>			
	3. Molecular biology? Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>			
	c. College course work or training that covers the subject areas of statistics and/or population genetics?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>5.4.1.1</b>	Does each of the specific subject areas listed in Standard 5.4.1 constitute an integral component of any coursework used to demonstrate compliance with this Standard?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>5.4.1.2</b>	For analysts appointed or hired on or after July 1, 2009, do the required subject areas consist of nine or more cumulative semester or equivalent hours?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>5.4.1.3</b>	For individuals who have completed coursework with titles other than those listed in Standard 5.4.1:			
	a. Have they successfully demonstrated compliance with this Standard through a combination of pertinent materials such as a transcript, syllabus, letter from the instructor, or other documentation that supports the course content?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	b. Has the technical leader documented his or her approval of compliance with this Standard?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

## Discussion

Analysts who were appointed or hired prior to July 1, 2009, will be assessed according to the educational requirements of the FBI Quality Assurance Audit Document dated July 1, 2004, which required a minimum of six cumulative semester hours or equivalent that covered the required subject areas.

Analysts appointed or hired on or after July 1, 2009, will be assessed according to the educational requirements of the FBI Quality Assurance Audit Document dated July 1, 2009. For analysts appointed or hired on or after July 1, 2009, a minimum of three courses (biochemistry, genetics, and molecular biology) totaling at least nine semester or equivalent credit hours must be completed successfully (college or university defined passing grade) and coursework or training in statistics and/or population genetics. Analysts may satisfy the statistics and/or population genetics coursework or training requirement of Standard 5.4.1 through internal or external training. For external statistics and/or population genetics training, a variety of methods may be used, including academic coursework; workshops at local, national, or international meetings or symposia; or other external, technical leader-approved training courses. The laboratory must maintain documentation of such attendance. For internal statistics and/or population genetics training, the documentation must comply with Standard 5.1.3.1.1.

***Contract employee*** is an individual that performs DNA typing and/or analytical support services to the NDIS participating laboratory. The person performing these services must meet the relevant qualifications for the equivalent position in the NDIS participating laboratory. A contract employee cannot serve as a casework CODIS Administrator or technical leader and cannot be counted as a full-time qualified DNA analyst for purposes of satisfying the definition of a laboratory. Employment of a contract employee by multiple NDIS participating laboratories and/or vendor laboratories shall be disclosed and shall only be permitted subject to approval by the technical leader of the NDIS participating laboratory for which the contract employee is performing DNA typing and/or analytical services.

A contract employee shall disclose any employment with another laboratory to the NDIS participating laboratory. The technical leader shall review such employment for any potential conflicts of interest. If there are no potential conflicts of interest, the technical leader may approve the employment by multiple NDIS participating and/or vendor laboratories.

***Biochemistry*** is the study of the nature of biologically important molecules in living systems, DNA replication and protein synthesis, and the quantitative and qualitative aspects of cellular metabolism.

***Genetics*** is the study of inherited traits, genotype/phenotype relationships, and population/species differences in allele and genotype frequencies.

**Molecular biology** is the study of the theories, methods, and techniques used in the study and analysis of gene structure, organization, and function.

**Integral component** is that portion of an academic course that is so significant and necessary to the understanding of the subject matter as a whole that the course would be considered incomplete without it.

Each of the required subject areas must be in the form of academic coursework for credit. **Coursework** is an academic class officially recognized and taught through a college or university program in which the participating student successfully completed and received one or more credit hours for the class.

A variety of college course work may apply toward satisfying this Standard and is not limited exclusively to the course titles listed.

If no new analysts have been appointed or hired on or after July 1, 2009, then Standard 5.4.1.2 shall be marked "N/A."

The DNA training program previously offered by the FBI Laboratory, with graduate credit hours from the University of Virginia, may be applied toward the molecular biology coursework requirement associated with this Standard. Unless specifically stated by the FBI, other FBI courses do not fulfill this requirement.

A list of the individuals in compliance with Standard 5.4 and the position with which they are in compliance will be incorporated by the auditor into Appendix D for external QAS audits.

## Comment

		Yes	No	N/A
5.4.2	Does each analyst have six months of documented, forensic human-DNA laboratory experience?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
5.4.2.1	Prior to independent work using DNA technology, has each analyst completed the analysis of a range of samples routinely encountered in forensic casework?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
5.4.2.2	Has each analyst successfully completed a competency test before beginning independent DNA analysis?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

## Discussion

An analyst must have a minimum of six months of forensic DNA laboratory experience gained at a facility where forensic DNA testing was performed for the identification and



evaluation of biological evidence in criminal matters. The experience time frame is measured not by the length of time spent with any particular employer but rather by the number of months/years in a position specific for gaining the experience necessary to satisfy this Standard. The experience gained by an individual must include the successful analysis of a range of samples typically associated with forensic casework. An individual's participation after appointment or hiring in a formal forensic DNA training program is acceptable for fulfilling or being applied toward fulfilling the experience requirement of this Standard.

If prior forensic human-DNA laboratory experience is accepted by a laboratory, the prior experience shall be documented and augmented by additional training, as needed, in the analytical methodologies, platforms, and interpretations of human-DNA results used by the laboratory.

**Competency** is the demonstration of technical skills and knowledge necessary to perform forensic DNA analysis successfully.

**A competency test(s)** is a written, oral, and/or practical test or series of tests designed to establish that an individual has demonstrated achievement of technical skills and met minimum standards of knowledge necessary to perform forensic DNA analysis. Such a test serves to test an individual's knowledge, skills, and abilities as they relate to his or her individual position. A laboratory may select from a variety of approaches for administering a competency test, including but not limited to a written, oral, or practical examination. If a laboratory uses an internal or external proficiency test as a competency test, the laboratory must have the DNA typing results to assess an individual's performance. The date of qualification of an individual must be documented. The qualification date has particular relevance to proficiency testing requirements discussed in Standard 13 (Proficiency Testing), which requires that newly qualified individuals participate in an external proficiency test within six months of qualification date.

## Comment

		Yes	No	N/A
5.5	Is each technical reviewer an employee or contract employee of the laboratory and does he or she meet or exceed the following qualifications?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
5.5.1	Is each technical reviewer a current or previously qualified analyst in the methodologies being reviewed?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

- |       |  |                                     |                          |                          |
|-------|--|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| 5.5.2 | Has each technical reviewer successfully completed a competency test prior to participating in the technical review of DNA data?   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 5.5.3 | Does each technical reviewer participate in an external proficiency testing program at an NDIS participating laboratory on the same technology, platform and typing amplification test kit used to generate the DNA data being reviewed? | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |

**Technical reviewer** is an employee or contract employee who is a current or previously qualified analyst in the methodology being reviewed that performs a technical review of, and is not an author of, the applicable report or its contents.

**Contract employee** is an individual that performs DNA typing and/or analytical support services to the NDIS participating laboratory. The person performing these services must meet the relevant qualifications for the equivalent position in the NDIS participating laboratory. A contract employee cannot serve as a casework CODIS Administrator or technical leader and cannot be counted as a full-time qualified DNA analyst for purposes of satisfying the definition of a laboratory. Employment of a contract employee by multiple NDIS participating laboratories and/or vendor laboratories shall be disclosed and shall only be permitted subject to approval by the technical leader of the NDIS participating laboratory for which the contract employee is performing DNA typing and/or analytical services.

A contract employee shall disclose any employment with another laboratory to the NDIS participating laboratory. The technical leader shall review such employment for any potential conflicts of interest. If there are no potential conflicts of interest, the technical leader may approve the employment by multiple NDIS participating and/or vendor laboratories.

**Competency** is the demonstration of technical skills and knowledge necessary to perform forensic DNA analysis successfully.

**A competency test(s)** is a written, oral, and/or practical test or series of tests designed to establish that an individual has demonstrated achievement of technical skills and met minimum standards of knowledge necessary to perform forensic DNA analysis. Such a test serves to test an individual's knowledge, skills, and abilities as they relate to his or her individual position. A laboratory may select from a variety of approaches for administering a competency test, including but not limited to a written, oral, or practical examination. If a laboratory uses an internal or external proficiency test as a competency test, the laboratory must have the DNA typing results to assess an individual's performance. The date of qualification of an individual must be documented.

A technical reviewer must be qualified or previously qualified in the technology, platform, and typing amplification test kit used to generate the data being reviewed. A technical reviewer must also participate in an NDIS laboratory's external proficiency-testing program to the full extent in which he or she participates in the review of the DNA data. The intent is that any contract employee hired to conduct technical reviews participates in an external proficiency testing program administered by an NDIS participating laboratory for the technology, platform and amplification test kit used to generate the data being reviewed and that the term of the employment does not impact or negate the requirement to participate in such external proficiency testing. For example, an analyst or technical reviewer participates and is proficiency tested on casework using one type of amplification test kit and performs the technical review of outsourced casework which was analyzed using a different technology, platform and/or amplification test kit. Such analyst or technical reviewer must also be proficiency tested on the technology, platform and/or amplification test kit used by the laboratory generating the DNA data under review to the extent he/she participates in or performs the technical review of the DNA data.

For non-NDIS participating laboratories the competency and proficiency test referenced in Standards 5.5.2 and 5.5.3 are not required to be administered by an NDIS participating laboratory.

## Comment

		Yes	No	N/A
<b>5.6</b>	Has each technician successfully completed each of the following:	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<b>5.6.1</b>	Documented training specific to his or her job function(s)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<b>5.6.2</b>	A competency test before participating in DNA analysis on evidence?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<b>5.7</b>	Do all laboratory technical support personnel have documented training specific to their job function(s)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

## Discussion

**A technician** (or equivalent role, position, or title as designated by the Laboratory Director) is an employee or contract employee who performs analytical techniques on

*forensic samples under the supervision of a qualified analyst. Technicians do not interpret data, reach conclusions on typing results, or prepare final reports.*

**Laboratory support personnel** (or equivalent role, position, or title as designated by the Laboratory Director) are employees or contract employees who perform laboratory duties exclusive of analytical techniques on forensic or database samples.

These personnel will be documented on the organizational chart.

#### **Comment**

**Standards 5.6 and 5.7 are marked N/A because there are no technicians or laboratory support personnel employed by the laboratory.**

## **Standard 6. Facilities**

		<b>Yes</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>N/A</b>
<b>6.1</b>	Is the laboratory designed to ensure the integrity of the analyses and the evidence?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>6.1.1</b>	Is access to the laboratory controlled and limited in a manner that prevents access by unauthorized personnel?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
a.	Do all exterior entrance/exit points have security control?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
b.	Is the distribution of all keys, combinations, and other security devices, documented and limited to the personnel designated by laboratory management?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

#### **Discussion**

To successfully satisfy Standard 6.1, the laboratory must demonstrate compliance with all of the subcategories of Standard 6.

Clearly written and well-understood procedures must exist for laboratory security. The laboratory's security system must control access and limit entry to the operational areas. Internal controlled areas shall limit access to only authorized personnel. The distribution system of all keys, combinations, etc. must be current, accurate, clearly documented, and available for review. Many other control systems which include card keys,

surveillance cameras, and intrusion alarms, are acceptable when they complement the laboratory's security system by controlling unauthorized access and/or limiting authorized access to the operational laboratory and evidence storage areas.

## Comment

		Yes	No	N/A
<b>6.1.2</b>	Except as provided in Standard 6.1.4, are techniques performed prior to polymerase chain reaction (PCR) amplification, to include evidence examinations, DNA extractions, and PCR setup, conducted at separate times or in separate spaces from one another?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>6.1.3</b>	Except as provided in Standard 6.1.4, is amplified DNA product, including real-time PCR, generated, processed, and maintained in a room(s) separate from the evidence examination, DNA extractions, and PCR-setup areas?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	a. Are the doors between rooms containing amplified DNA and other areas closed at all times except for passage?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>6.1.4</b>	If a robotic workstation is used to carry out DNA extraction, quantification, PCR setup, and/or amplification in a single room, has the laboratory validated the analytical process in accordance with Standard 8?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	a. If the robot performs analysis through amplification, is the robot housed in a separate room from that used for initial evidence examinations?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

## Discussion

Through a combination of clearly written analytical procedures, casework notes, and/or personal observation, the laboratory's approach to sample processing for PCR-based procedures (extraction, quantification, and amplification) must demonstrate a separation in time or physical space for each activity. The laboratory's design must demonstrate that evidence flow, through the various steps of DNA processing, does not compromise the integrity of the sample. The amplification room must be enclosed with walls from the floor to the ceiling and door(s) for passage. The amplification room(s) must physically separate amplified DNA from all other areas of the laboratory by keeping doors in the closed position.

When robotic workstations are used to carry out DNA extractions through PCR setup on casework samples, a single room may be used. Internal validation must show that if contamination occurs, it is minimized, addressed, and less than or equal to that observed when these procedures are performed manually in separate rooms. When robotic workstations are not used to carry out DNA extractions through PCR setup on casework samples in a single room, Standard 6.1.4 shall be marked "N/A."

## Comment

	Yes	No	N/A
<b>6.1.5</b> Does the laboratory have and follow written procedures for cleaning and decontaminating facilities and equipment?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

## Discussion

A laboratory may employ a variety of methods to monitor, clean, and decontaminate its facilities, such as the use of appropriate controls in the analysis process. This may be accomplished through a variety of ways at the discretion of the laboratory; the method(s) used by the laboratory must be documented.

## Comment

### STANDARD 7. Evidence Control

	Yes	No	N/A
7.1 Does the laboratory have and follow a documented evidence control system to ensure the integrity of physical evidence?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
7.1.1 For evidence and sample identification:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
a. Is all evidence marked with a unique identifier on the evidence package?			
	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>	
b. Does the laboratory clearly define what constitutes evidence and what constitutes work product?			
	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>	
c. Does the laboratory have and follow a method to distinguish each sample throughout processing?			
	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>	

## Discussion

To successfully satisfy Standard 7.1, the laboratory must demonstrate compliance with all of the subcategories of Standard 7.

The DNA laboratory must have clearly written, well-understood procedures that address handling and preserving the integrity of evidence. Key components of such an evidence-control procedure include proper labeling and sealing of evidence, a documented chain-of-custody record, and a secure area designated for evidence storage. Each item of evidence (and/or its container) must be marked with a unique identifier.

The laboratory shall clearly define what constitutes evidence and what constitutes work product. **Work product** is the material that is generated as a function of analysis, which may include extracts, amplified product, and amplification tubes or plates as defined by the laboratory.

The laboratory shall have a method to distinguish each sample throughout processing (such as plate or rack mapping) that may not require the assignment of unique identifiers or individual evidence seals for each sample.

### Comment

	Yes	No	N/A
<b>7.1.2</b> Does the laboratory document and maintain a chain of custody, in hard or electronic format, for all evidence, to include the following:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
a. Signature or initials or the electronic equivalent of each individual receiving or transferring the evidence?	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>	
b. The corresponding date for each transfer?	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>	
c. Evidentiary item(s) transferred?	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>	

### Discussion

A written chain-of-custody record must include the signature or initials (written or electronic) of each individual receiving or transferring evidence, with the corresponding date for each transfer and a corresponding identifier that specifies each evidentiary item. This record must provide a comprehensive, documented history for each evidence transfer over which the laboratory has control. Electronic tracking of evidence is an acceptable alternative to a written record as long as the computerized data are sufficiently secure, detailed, and accessible for review and can be converted to a hard copy when necessary.

### Comment



		Yes	No	N/A
<b>7.1.3</b>	Does the laboratory have and follow documented procedures designed to minimize loss, contamination, and/or deleterious change of evidence and work product in progress?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>7.1.4</b>	Does the laboratory have secure, controlled-access areas for evidence storage and work product in progress?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

## Discussion

The laboratory must ensure that evidence stored under its custody is properly sealed and protected from loss, contamination, and/or deleterious change. An evidence container is sealed properly if its contents cannot escape readily and if opening the container results in a detectable alteration to the container or seal. The seal must be labeled in a manner that identifies the individual responsible for sealing the evidence. The immediate container need not be sealed (but securely closed) if it is enclosed in a larger container that meets the requirements of a proper seal. In such instances, the container must be closed securely such that its contents are protected from loss, contamination, and/or deleterious change.

Secure areas for evidence storage must exist within the laboratory. The laboratory may demonstrate compliance with Standard 7.1.4 by specifying short-term and long-term storage that demonstrate proper security through defined, controlled access to the evidentiary storage area at stopping points in the procedure. Short-term storage areas may vary from a locked file cabinet to an entire examination room temporarily housing large or bulky items of evidence.

## Comment

		Yes	No	N/A
<b>7.2</b>	Does the laboratory retain or return a portion of the evidence sample or extract where possible?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

## Discussion

The laboratory must have a policy or other documentation that addresses the retention or return of evidence or extracts.

## Comment

	Yes	No	N/A
7.3 Does the laboratory have and follow documented policies for the disposition of evidence and sample consumption?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

**Comment**

## Standard 8. Validation

	Yes	No	N/A
8.1 Does the laboratory use validated methods for DNA analyses?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

### Discussion

To successfully satisfy Standard 8.1, the laboratory must demonstrate compliance with all of the subcategories of Standard 8.

**Validation** is the process by which a procedure is evaluated to determine its efficacy and reliability for forensic casework analysis. It is the accumulation of test data within the laboratory to demonstrate that established methods and procedures perform as expected.

**Comment**

	Yes	No	N/A
8.2 Have developmental validation studies preceded the use of a novel methodology for forensic DNA analysis?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

### Discussion

**Developmental validation** is the acquisition of test data and determination of conditions and limitations of a new or novel DNA methodology for use on forensic

samples. **Methodology** is used to describe the analytical processes and procedures used to support a DNA-typing technology: for example, extraction methods (manual vs. automated), quantification methods (slot blot, fluorometry, real-time); typing test kit; and platform (capillary electrophoresis, real-time gel and end-point gel systems).

A DNA laboratory may rely upon another laboratory's developmental validation studies; however, the citations and/or publications referencing that validation must be available and accessible to support the underlying scientific basis. If a laboratory can document the developmental validation through citations and publications, Standard 8.2 shall be marked "Yes."

## Comment

		Yes	No	N/A
<b>8.2.1</b>	Have developmental validation studies been performed and documented to include, where applicable:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
a. Characterization of the genetic marker?	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> N/A <input type="checkbox"/>			
b. Species specificity?	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> N/A <input type="checkbox"/>			
c. Sensitivity studies?	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> N/A <input type="checkbox"/>			
d. Stability studies?	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> N/A <input type="checkbox"/>			
e. Reproducibility?	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> N/A <input type="checkbox"/>			
f. Case-type samples?	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> N/A <input type="checkbox"/>			
g. Population studies?	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> N/A <input type="checkbox"/>			
h. Mixture studies?	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> N/A <input type="checkbox"/>			
i. Precision and accuracy studies?	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> N/A <input type="checkbox"/>			
j. PCR-based studies to include?	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> N/A <input type="checkbox"/>			
1. Reaction conditions?	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>			
2. Assessment of differential and preferential amplification?				

Yes ☒ No ☐

3. Effects of multiplexing?

Yes ☒ No ☐

4. Assessment of appropriate controls?

Yes ☒ No ☐

5. Product detection studies?

Yes ☒ No ☐

**8.2.2** Are peer-reviewed publication(s) of the underlying scientific principle(s) of a technology available? ☒ ☐ ☐

## Discussion

To successfully satisfy Standard 8.2.1, the laboratory must demonstrate compliance with all of the applicable subcategories of this Standard.

If a DNA laboratory is relying upon another laboratory's developmental validation, the citations and publications addressing the elements of Standard 8.2.1 (a through j) must be available and accessible.

If a DNA laboratory has performed its own developmental validation, it must show evidence of how the elements of Standard 8.2.1 (a through j) were addressed.

Case-type samples may be those samples that are from adjudicated cases or mock samples that mimic casework samples.

## Comment

	Yes	No	N/A
<b>8.3</b> Except as provided in Standard 8.3.1.1, have internal validation of all manual and robotic methodologies been conducted by each laboratory and reviewed and approved by the laboratory's technical leader prior to use?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>8.3.1</b> For Internal Validation Studies:			
a. Have internal validation studies been documented and summarized?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
b. Have all internal validation studies conducted on or after July 1, 2009, included, as applicable:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

1. Known and non probative evidence samples or mock evidence samples?

Yes ☒ No ☐ N/A ☐

2. Reproducibility and precision?

Yes ☒ No ☐ N/A ☐

3. Sensitivity and stochastic studies?

Yes ☒ No ☐ N/A ☐

4. Mixture studies?

Yes ☒ No ☐ N/A ☐

5. Contamination assessment?

Yes ☒ No ☐ N/A ☐

**8.3.1.1** For multilaboratory systems:

a. Has each laboratory in a multi-laboratory system completed, documented, and maintained applicable site-specific precision, sensitivity, and contamination assessment studies? ☐ ☐ ☒

b. Are the summaries of all applicable validation data available at each site? ☐ ☐ ☒

**8.3.2** Have quality assurance parameters and interpretation guidelines, including, as applicable, guidelines for mixture interpretation, been defined pursuant to internal validation? ☒ ☐ ☐

**8.3.3** If a laboratory has had a change in detection platform or test kit, have internal validation studies been performed? ☒ ☐ ☐

**8.4** Has the analyst or examination team successfully completed a competency test using the DNA analysis procedure prior to its incorporation into casework applications? ☒ ☐ ☐

## Discussion

**Internal validation** is an accumulation of test data within the laboratory to demonstrate that established methods and procedures perform as expected in the laboratory.

Prior to implementing a new DNA methodology (**methodology** is used to describe the analytical processes and procedures used to support a DNA-typing technology: for example, extraction methods [manual vs. automated], quantification methods [slot blot, fluorometry, real-time], typing test kit, and platform [capillary electrophoresis, real-time gel, and end-point gel systems]) or procedure (**procedure** [protocol, SOP or other equivalent] is an established practice to be followed in performing a specified task or

*under specific circumstances*) or an existing DNA method or procedure developmentally validated by another laboratory, the forensic laboratory must first demonstrate the reliability of the method or procedure internally for manual and/or robotic methods.

The internal validation studies conducted by the forensic laboratory should be sufficient to support and document the reliability of the technology (**technology** *is used to describe the type of forensic DNA analysis performed in the laboratory, such as RFLP, STR, YSTR, or mitochondrial DNA*) as practiced by that laboratory through demonstrating reproducibility and precision, sensitivity and stochastic studies, mixture studies, and contamination assessment.

**For internal validation having a completed summary dated prior to July 1, 2009, the laboratory must comply with Standard 8.3.1b (1) and (2), and the remaining subcategories (3--5) may be marked "N/A."** In addition, the studies summarized after July 1, 2009, shall define the quality assurance parameters and interpretation guidelines to support their use in casework applications. Summaries must be written for all internal validation studies, and approval must be documented by the technical leader prior to being incorporated into casework.

For laboratory systems that consist of more than one laboratory, each of the laboratories must complete and maintain precision, sensitivity, and contamination assessment studies. Basic validation studies may be shared among all locations in a multi-laboratory system. The internal validation materials must be documented, summarized, and approved by the technical leader. Summaries of a system's internal validation studies must be available at all sites.

For laboratory systems that have acquired new equipment that leads to a platform change (**platform** *is the type of analytical system used to generate DNA profiles such as capillary electrophoresis, real-time gel, and end-point gel instruments or systems*), internal validation studies must be performed.

For laboratory systems that have acquired new test kits (**a test kit** *is a pre-assembled set of reagents that allows the user to conduct a specific DNA extraction, quantification or amplification*), internal validation studies must be performed.

A list of the validation studies in compliance with Standard 8.1 will be incorporated by the auditor into Appendix E. The validation studies found to be in compliance with Standard 8.1 after one external audit do not need to be reviewed.

Prior to initiating casework applications with any newly validated procedure(s), the DNA laboratory must ensure that its DNA personnel have successfully completed a competency test to the extent of their participation in casework applications. A **competency test(s)** *is a written, oral, and/or practical test or series of tests designed to establish that an individual has demonstrated achievement of technical skills and met minimum standards of knowledge necessary to perform forensic DNA analysis*. For DNA personnel intimately involved in a validation, the technical leader may allow the validation to serve as the demonstration of competency. Documentation must be

available to indicate that the involvement in the validation was representative of the extent the personnel will be involved in casework applications.

## Comment

**Standard 8.3.1.1 is marked N/A because the lab system is not a multi (DNA) laboratory system at this time.**

		Yes	No	N/A
<b>8.5</b>	Have modified procedures been evaluated by comparison with the original procedures using similar DNA samples prior to their incorporation into casework applications?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>8.6</b>	Has the laboratory evaluated each additional or modified critical instrument by conducting a performance check prior to its use in casework?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>8.7</b>	Has the laboratory evaluated software upgrades by conducting a performance check prior to use in casework?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	a. Has new software or significant software modifications been documented and subjected to validation testing prior to use in casework?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

## Discussion

If a laboratory modifies a procedure that would require a protocol change, the modified procedure shall be evaluated by comparing the original procedure to the modified procedure using similar DNA samples. Modifications must be documented and approved by the technical leader before being implemented in casework applications.

Each new instrument or performance-based software change (including upgrades) requires a performance check (**performance check** is a quality assurance measure to assess the functionality of laboratory instruments and equipment that affect the accuracy and/or validity of forensic sample analysis). [For example, a performance check would be necessary if a laboratory currently used one instrument and added another instrument of the same model number, or if a laboratory was using one instrument and upgraded to a different model of instrument without a change in the analysis software package. If a laboratory upgrades to another instrument and has a change in the analysis software package, then the laboratory must perform an internal validation study.

If acquisition of new equipment leads to a method change (e.g., DNA detection from a

gel-based to capillary-based system), internal validation studies must be performed.

New software or significant software changes that would impact interpretation, the analytical process, or sizing algorithms shall require a validation prior to implementation in casework. A software upgrade that would not impact interpretation, the analytical process, or sizing algorithms shall require a performance check.

## Comment

**Standard 8.7 was marked N/A because there were no software upgrades.**

## Standard 9. Analytical Procedures

		Yes	No	N/A
9.1	Does the laboratory have and follow written analytical procedures approved by the technical leader?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	a. Are the laboratory's standard operating procedures reviewed annually by the technical leader, and is this review documented?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
9.1.1	Does the laboratory have a documented standard operating procedure for each analytical method used?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	a. Do the analytical procedures specify reagents, sample preparation, extraction methods, equipment, and controls that are standard for DNA analysis and data interpretation?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	b. Does the laboratory have a procedure for the differential extraction of stains that contain sperm?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

## Discussion

To successfully satisfy Standard 9.1, the laboratory must demonstrate compliance with all of the subcategories of Standard 9.1.

***Procedure*** (protocol, standard operating procedure, or other equivalent) is an established practice to be followed in performing a specified task or under specific circumstances.

Standard operating procedures must be reviewed by the technical leader annually as described in Standard 3. This review must be documented and performed independent



of the audit required by Standard 15. Standard operating procedures must be readily available to laboratory personnel, reflect the current practices employed by the laboratory, and be supported through a laboratory's validation.

The laboratory shall have and follow standard operating procedures for each analytical method used by the laboratory (***analytical procedure*** is an orderly, step-by-step process designed to ensure operational uniformity and to minimize analytical drift). Each procedure must specify the reagents, sample preparation, extraction method, equipment, and controls used in the analytical process. A DNA laboratory must have a procedure for the differential extraction of stains containing semen.

A DNA laboratory must ensure that all of its procedures are current and readily available.

### Comment

		Yes	No	N/A
<b>9.2</b>	Does the laboratory use reagents that are suitable for the methods employed?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>9.2.1</b>	Does the laboratory have written procedures for documenting commercial reagents and for the formulation of in-house reagents?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>9.2.2</b>	Are commercial reagents labeled with:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	a. The identity of the reagent?			
	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>			
	b. The expiration date as provided by the manufacturer or as determined by the laboratory?			
	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>			
<b>9.2.3</b>	Are in-house reagents labeled with:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	a. The identity of the reagent?			
	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>			
	b. The date of the preparation or expiration or both?			
	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>			
	c. The identity of the individual preparing the reagent?			
	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>			

- 9.3** Critical reagents shall include, but are not limited to, the reagents listed in Standards 9.3.1 and 9.3.2.
- a. Has the laboratory identified critical reagents? ☒ ☐ ☐
- b. Has the laboratory evaluated critical reagents prior to use in casework? ☒ ☐ ☐
- 9.3.1** Has the laboratory identified and evaluated the following: ☒ ☐ ☐
- a. Test kits or systems for performing quantitative PCR?  
Yes ☒ No ☐ N/A ☐
- b. Test kits or systems for performing genetic typing?  
Yes ☒ No ☐ N/A ☐
- 9.3.2** Has the laboratory identified and evaluated the following: ☐ ☐ ☒
- a. Thermostable DNA polymerase (if not tested as test kit components under Standard 9.3.1)?  
Yes ☐ No ☐ N/A ☒
- b. Primer sets (if not tested as test kit components under Standard 9.3.1)?  
Yes ☐ No ☐ N/A ☒
- c. Allelic ladders used for genetic analysis (if not tested as test-kit components under Standard 9.3.1)?  
Yes ☐ No ☐ N/A ☒

## Discussion

To successfully satisfy Standard 9.2, the laboratory must demonstrate compliance with all of the subcategories of Standard 9.2.

The laboratory shall have and follow written procedures for documenting commercial reagents and formulating in-house reagents.

Commercial reagents must be labeled with the identity of the reagent and the expiration date as provided by the manufacturer or determined by the laboratory. If the laboratory has determined an expiration date beyond that provided by the manufacturer, supporting documentation for that date must be available at the laboratory. For those reagents having no expiration date provided by the manufacturer, the laboratory shall have a policy for setting the expiration date.

In-house reagents must be labeled with the identity of the reagent, the date of preparation or expiration or both, and the identity of the individual preparing the reagent.

If the laboratory has an electronic bar-coding system for the management of its in-house reagents, the laboratory must place the name of the reagent on the bottle in addition to the bar coded information and have a written policy or procedure for recording the required information. In such instances Standards 9.2.2 through 9.2.3 shall be marked "Yes."

Records must be maintained that identify the preparer of the reagent and the quality control measures (if any) used to check the reliability of reagents.

**Test kit** is a preassembled set of reagents that allows the user to conduct a specific DNA extraction, quantification, or amplification. A system is similar to a test kit except that it is not preassembled.

**Critical reagents** are determined by empirical studies or routine practice to require testing on established samples before use on evidentiary or casework reference samples. The laboratory must identify the reagents critical to the analytical processes used and evaluate each, prior to their use on evidence. This list must include, at a minimum, those critical reagents listed in Standards 9.3.1 and 9.3.2. Laboratories must have written procedures detailing the quality control measures in place for evaluating critical reagents and materials, the acceptable range of results, procedures for addressing unacceptable data, and mechanisms used for documentation and subsequent approval/rejection of quality control data.

## Comment

**Standard 9.3.2 is marked N/A because these components are tested as part of the test kit.**

	Yes	No	N/A
<b>9.4</b> Does the laboratory quantify the amount of human DNA in forensic samples prior to nuclear DNA amplification?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

## Discussion

When using PCR-based analysis techniques for nuclear DNA, the presence or absence of detectable human DNA must be assessed with regard to the unknown evidentiary samples for compliance with Standard 9.4. Quantification in forensic samples must be assessed prior to nuclear DNA amplification.

Quantification of human DNA is not required for casework reference samples if the laboratory has a validated system that has been demonstrated to reproducibly and reliably yield successful DNA amplification and typing without prior quantification. These methods are suitable for use on known reference samples from casework and

evidentiary items that are subjected solely to mitochondrial DNA analysis. In such instances, the response to Standard 9.4 shall be marked "N/A."

## Comment

		Yes	No	N/A
<b>9.5</b>	Does the laboratory monitor the analytical procedures using appropriate controls and standards?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>9.5.1</b>	Are standards used during quantification procedures?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>9.5.2</b>	For positive and negative amplification controls:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	a. Are the positive and negative amplification controls associated with the forensic samples being typed amplified concurrently in the same instrument with the samples at all loci using the same primers as the forensic samples?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	b. Are the positive and negative amplification controls associated with the forensic samples being typed?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>9.5.3</b>	Are reagent blank controls associated with each extraction set being analyzed as follows:	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>9.5.3.1</b>	Extracted concurrently?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>9.5.3.2</b>	Are the reagent blanks amplified using:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	a. The same primers as the forensic sample(s)?			
	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>			
	b. The same instrument model as the forensic sample(s)?			
	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>			
	c. The same concentration conditions as required by the forensic sample(s) containing the least amount of DNA?			
	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>			
<b>9.5.3.3</b>	Are the reagent blanks typed using:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	a. The same instrument model as the forensic sample(s)?			
	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>			
	b. The same injection conditions as the forensic sample(s)?			

Yes ☒ No ☐

c. The most sensitive volume conditions of the forensic extraction set?

Yes ☒ No ☐

9.5.4 Does the laboratory use allelic ladders and internal size markers for VNTR sequence PCR- based systems? ☒ ☐ ☐

## Discussion

A laboratory shall have and follow documented procedures to address the use of positive and negative controls in casework applications. ***A positive amplification control is an analytical control sample that is used to determine if the PCR performed properly. This control consists of the amplification reagents and a known DNA sample. A negative amplification control is used to detect DNA contamination of the amplification reagents. This control consists of only amplification reagents without the addition of template DNA. A reagent blank control is an analytical control sample that contains no template DNA and is used to monitor contamination from extraction to final fragment or sequence analysis.*** This control is treated the same as, and parallel to, the forensic and/or casework reference samples being analyzed. These procedures shall identify the acceptable results for controls and the verification and documentation of their use. A laboratory must use quantification standards as a part of its quantification process.

A laboratory shall associate a reagent blank control with each extraction set or batch of samples as defined by the laboratory. **The additional requirements for reagent blank controls specified in Standards 9.5.3.2 and 9.5.3.3 are applicable to samples extracted on or after July 1, 2009.**

The reagent blank control shall be extracted concurrently and in the most sensitive volume of the extraction set. If a laboratory does not quantitate its reagent blanks, it must document and verify that the reagent blanks are amplified concurrently with the forensic sample(s) being characterized from an extraction set.

If a laboratory has a practice of setting up multiple reagent blanks within its extraction set, and as a part of its process, it quantitates its reagent blanks, the laboratory shall amplify at least one of those reagent banks, if it is carrying on any of the specimens associated with the extraction set on to amplification in accordance with Standard 9.5.3.2. If a laboratory does set up multiple reagent blanks and quantitates those reagent blanks with its extraction set, it shall amplify and characterize at least the reagent blank that demonstrates the greatest signal, if any.

If a laboratory uses multiple amplification test kits and the laboratory has depleted its reagent blanks associated with the extraction set or sample being amplified, a laboratory shall not continue on to a different amplification test kit without a reagent blank.

For extraction sets being amplified, a laboratory shall concurrently amplify at all loci a set of positive and negative amplification controls along with its reagent blank using the same primers as the forensic sample(s), amplified in the same instrument as the forensic sample(s), and amplified using the most sensitive concentration conditions (criteria 9.5.3.2c) as required by the forensic sample(s) that contain the least amount of DNA. For example, a laboratory has validated bringing all of its extracted questioned specimens and reagent blanks up in 20ul and quantitating 10% (2ul). After evaluating the quantitation results of the extraction set, one of the questioned samples requires the remaining 18ul for amplification. Therefore, at least 18ul from an associated reagent blank shall be amplified with that extraction set. As another example, if after quantitation, a laboratory needs to reconstitute samples, one of the reagent blanks associated with that extraction set will also need to follow through that process.

If a laboratory reamplifies a sample with the same amplification test kit or system, and does not increase the template volume over that of the original reagent blank, and does not alter the amplification parameters to increase sensitivity, the laboratory does not need to reamplify the reagent blank associated with the extraction set being reamplified, provided, however, that the laboratory includes amplification positive and negative controls with the extraction set

If a laboratory injects samples at varying injection times, amplicon volumes, and/or injection voltage, the reagent blank must satisfy the most sensitive injection conditions. For example, if a laboratory uses a five-second injection and a 10-second injection on a sample set, the laboratory must inject its reagent blank with at least the 10-second injection.

If a laboratory determines at the quantification stage to terminate all evidentiary sample processing, in order to monitor analytical quality, the reagent blank control must be either quantitated or typed in order for the evidentiary sample processing to be terminated. In order for a laboratory to determine that evidentiary sample processing is to be terminated after DNA quantitation, the laboratory shall have a validation study to support that determination.

If a laboratory is using mass spectrometry with respect to Standard 9.5.4, the term “allelic ladder” refers to a collection of DNA fragments or the expected molecular masses of these DNA fragments for any particular locus.

## **Comment**

**Standard 9.5 and sub-categories 9.5.3 and 9.5.3.1 are marked No, because in order to properly monitor contamination during the extraction, the negative extraction control has to be treated the same as and in the most sensitive volume of the extraction set.**

**Through a review of the laboratory’s procedure DNA Extraction Using the EZ1 Advanced XL (see below) and interviews with the technical leader and laboratory staff, samples required to be extracted in multiple tubes may be concentrated into**

one tube, using a microcon. Only one negative extraction control tube, however, is created to monitor a sample that has been extracted in multiple tubes and concentrated into one final tube. Staff is trained to apply this procedure in casework.

#### ***DNA Extraction Using the EZ1 Advanced XL***

##### ***5.8 Concentration of Extracted DNA***

***NOTE: This procedure may be used if (1) the original final volume of the DNA extract, based on the training and experience of the Forensic Scientist, leaves the extract too diluted to obtain a DNA profile or (2) if the sample amount for an item required the use of multiple tubes and the final product shall be concentrated into one final tube. If the final volume is less than the final volume of the associated negative extraction control, the control shall also be concentrated using the steps below.***

Since the total volume of reagents added to the sample during the extraction in multiple tubes is several times the volume added to the single negative extraction control tube, any reagent contaminant introduced to the sample tubes during extraction will be several times more concentrated in the final sample tube than in the associated negative extraction control. Accordingly, a reagent contaminant present in the sample may not be detected in the associated negative extraction control under these conditions.

	Yes	No	N/A
<b>9.5.5</b> Does the laboratory check its DNA procedures either annually or whenever substantial changes are made to a procedure against an appropriate and available NIST standard reference material (SRM) or standard traceable to a NIST standard?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

#### **Discussion**

The laboratory must demonstrate performance through an annual check of its laboratory procedures (at a minimum from amplification to characterization) to generate typing results for each technology (***technology*** is used to describe the type of forensic DNA analysis performed in the laboratory, such as RFLP, STR, YSTR, or mitochondrial DNA).

***NIST*** is the National Institute of Standards and Technology.

***Reference material (certified or standard)*** is a material for which values are certified by a technically valid procedure and accompanied by, or traceable to, a certificate or other documentation which is issued by a certifying body.

Laboratories have the option of using one sample from the NIST SRM or to

create/purchase a NIST-traceable standard for their annual check. Laboratories are not required to purchase a NIST SRM kit each year to comply with Standard 9.5.5. Laboratories may identify controls and run these against the NIST SRM, which in turn makes these controls NIST-traceable. For those laboratories that use a bloodstain control, a “lot” is identified as the bloodstain(s) that are tested against the NIST SRM, not the person from whom the blood was drawn. This lot may be used annually to verify the controls and DNA procedures in use by the laboratory. This annual check of typing results must be assessed separately from any use the NIST SRM may have within casework traceability (e.g., if a laboratory uses 9947A as a part of its internal positive control for casework). A laboratory must demonstrate a designated NIST SRM laboratory check of its procedure annually or whenever a substantial change is made to the procedure. A substantial change would be a change in test kit, platform, or software.

Laboratories have the option of using additional NIST SRMs (such as Human Quantification Standard NIST SRM 2372) that may be available, but their use is not required by Standard 9.5.5.

## Comment

		Yes	No	N/A
<b>9.6</b>	Does the laboratory have and follow written guidelines for the interpretation of data?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>9.6.1</b>	Does the laboratory verify that all control results meet the laboratory's interpretation guidelines for all reported results?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>9.6.2</b>	Has the 1996 National Research Council report and/or a court-directed method been used for the statistical interpretation of a DNA profile for a given population and/or hypothesis or relatedness, and are these calculations derived from an established population database(s) appropriate for the calculation?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>9.6.3</b>	Does the laboratory have and follow specific documented statistical interpretation guidelines if genetic analyses that are not addressed by Standard 9.6.2 are being performed?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<b>9.6.4</b>	Does the laboratory have and follow documented procedures for mixture interpretation to include the following:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	a. Major and minor contributors?	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>	



- |   |     |                                     |    |                          |
|---|-----|-------------------------------------|----|--------------------------|
| b. Inclusions and exclusions?                     | Yes | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| c. Policies for reporting results and statistics? | Yes | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No | <input type="checkbox"/> |

## Discussion

A laboratory shall have and follow written guidelines for the interpretation of data that are supported through its validation. A laboratory shall verify that all control results meet the laboratory's interpretation guidelines for all reported results. A documented method must exist to demonstrate that control values are verified when used (e.g., check-off, technical review).

The statistical interpretation of autosomal loci shall be made following recommendations 4.1, 4.2, or 4.3, as deemed applicable, of the National Research Council report titled "The Evaluation of Forensic DNA Evidence" (1996) and/or a court-directed method. The laboratory shall provide documentation for the interpretation method being used. These calculations shall be derived from a documented population database(s) appropriate for the calculation.

If a laboratory is performing genetic analyses not addressed by Standard 9.6.2, (e.g., Y-chromosome, mtDNA), the laboratory shall have and follow documented statistical interpretation guidelines for that testing.

A laboratory shall have and follow a documented procedure for mixture interpretation supported by its validation. Based upon a laboratory's validation, it shall have and follow procedures to discern major and minor contributors, inclusions and exclusions, and policies for reporting results and applicable statistics.

## Comment

**Standard 9.6.3 is marked N/A because the laboratory does not conduct any genetic analyses not addressed in Standard 9.6.2.**

	Yes	No	N/A
<b>9.7</b> Does the laboratory have and follow a documented policy for detecting and controlling contamination?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

## Discussion

**Contamination** is the unintentional introduction of exogenous DNA into a DNA sample or PCR reaction.

A laboratory shall have and follow a documented policy for detecting and controlling contamination. This policy should include the procedures used by a laboratory for monitoring, decontaminating, and detecting contamination. In addition, a laboratory shall have and follow policies and/or procedures for interpreting data potentially affected by contamination.

## Comment

## Standard 10. Equipment Calibration and Maintenance

		Yes	No	N/A
10.1	Does the laboratory use equipment that is suitable for the methods employed?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
10.2	Does the laboratory have and follow a documented program for conducting performance checks and calibrating equipment and instruments?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
10.2.1	At a minimum, are the following critical instruments or equipment performance-checked at least annually:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
10.2.1.1	A thermometer that is traceable to national or international standard(s) and is used for conducting performance checks?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
10.2.1.2	Balance/scale?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
10.2.1.3	Thermal cycler temperature-verification system?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
10.2.1.4	Thermal cycler, including quantitative-PCR?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
10.2.1.5	Electrophoresis detection systems?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
10.2.1.6	Robotic systems?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
10.2.1.7	Genetic analyzers?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
10.2.1.8	Mechanical pipettes?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
10.3	Does the laboratory have a schedule and follow a documented program to ensure that instruments and equipment are maintained properly?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	a. Has documentation been retained for maintenance, service, and/or calibration?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

<b>10.4</b>	Does the laboratory performance check new critical instruments and equipment, or critical instruments and equipment that have undergone repair, service or calibration, before their use in casework analysis?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>10.4.1</b>	At a minimum, are the following critical instruments or equipment performance-checked following repair, service, or calibration:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>10.4.1.1</b>	Electrophoresis detection systems?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>10.4.1.2</b>	Robotic systems?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>10.4.1.3</b>	Genetic analyzers?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>10.4.1.4</b>	Thermal cycler, including quantitative-PCR?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

## Discussion

**Calibration** is the set of operations which establish, under specified conditions, the relationship between values indicated by a measuring instrument or measuring system, or values represented by a material, and the corresponding known values of a measurement.

**Critical equipment or instruments** are those requiring calibration or a performance check prior to use and periodically thereafter.

**Performance check** is a quality assurance measure to assess the functionality of laboratory instruments and equipment that affect the accuracy and/or validity of forensic sample analysis.

**Traceability** is the property of a result of a measurement whereby it can be related to appropriate standards, generally international or national standards, through an unbroken chain of comparisons.

To successfully satisfy Standards 10.2 and 10.4, the laboratory must demonstrate compliance with all of the subcategories of both Standards.

To successfully satisfy the requirements listed in Standards 10.2 and 10.4, the laboratory's documentation must, at a minimum, include all critical equipment and instruments listed above. The laboratory's documentation must include the schedules for and records of all repairs, service, or calibrations for the critical equipment and instruments. Critical equipment or instruments are those requiring calibration prior to use and periodically thereafter when the accurate calibration of that instrument directly affects the results of the analysis.

The minimum requirements of a performance check of a thermometer used for performing performance checks may be accomplished through: (1) certification by an

outside vendor; or (2) in-house by the comparison of one or more temperature readings at various time intervals against another NIST-traceable thermometer.

For example, a NIST-traceable thermometer certified for two years and used for conducting performance checks on equipment shall require the annual performance check. A NIST-traceable thermometer certified for two years that is not used for conducting performance checks does not require the annual performance checks and may be used until the certification expires. A NIST-traceable thermometer to be used beyond its certification date shall be recertified or be subject to the annual performance-check requirements.

The minimum requirements of a performance check of a balance or scale may be accomplished either through an outside vendor or performed in-house by the laboratory using certified weights.

The minimum requirements of a performance check of a thermal cycler temperature-verification system may be accomplished through certification by an outside vendor or accomplished in-house by the comparison against a certified thermal cycler temperature verification system.

The minimum requirements of a performance check of a thermal cycler, including quantitative-PCR include the system's diagnostic programs and the use of an appropriate certified temperature verification system or process.

The minimum requirements of a performance check of an electrophoresis detection system may be accomplished by analyzing amplification controls or internal standards or using previously characterized DNA samples for comparison.

The minimum requirements of a performance check of a robotic system shall be defined by the laboratory. This performance check may be accomplished by an outside vendor or accomplished in-house by the laboratory.

The minimum requirements of a performance check of a genetic analyzer may be accomplished by analyzing amplification controls or internal standards or using previously characterized DNA samples for comparison. For example, a laboratory may choose to performance-check a genetic analyzer by analyzing a set containing an amplification positive, an amplification negative and a ladder. If a laboratory uses a mass spectrometer, a performance check would be defined by the laboratory to verify sensitivity and accurate mass assignments.

The minimum requirements of a performance check of a mechanical pipette may be accomplished by certification by an outside vendor or accomplished in-house through the comparison of a series of measurements. For example, measurements are evaluated at a high and low setting of the pipette's range.

Laboratories have the option of using additional NIST SRMs (e.g., Human

Quantification Standard NIST SRM 2372) that may be available, but their use is not required by Standard 10.2.1 unless specifically referenced by the laboratory.

The critical instruments and equipment identified in Standard 10.4.1 require additional (beyond annual) performance checks after repair, service or calibration. At a minimum, the electrophoresis detection system, robotic systems, genetic analyzers, and thermal cyclers listed in Standard 10.4.1 shall be performance-checked after repair, service, or calibration. New critical instruments and equipment, and critical instruments or equipment having a specific repair, service, or calibration, may necessitate additional performance check elements as defined by the laboratory to demonstrate acceptable sensitivity and precision as those instruments previously in use.

Critical instruments or equipment that are not listed in Standard 10.4.1 are not required to have a performance check after repair, service, or calibration.

### Comment

## Standard 11. Reports

	Yes	No	N/A
11.1 a. Does the laboratory have and follow written procedures for taking and maintaining case notes to support the conclusions drawn in laboratory reports?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
b. Does the laboratory maintain all analytical documentation generated by analysts related to case analyses?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
c. Does the laboratory retain, in hard copy or electronic format, sufficient documentation for each technical analysis to support the report conclusions such that another qualified individual could interpret and evaluate the data?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

### Discussion

Laboratory case records may be in hard copy, electronic files, or a combination of both formats.

The laboratory should have a written procedure detailing documentation maintained under this Standard. Materials contained in case records must demonstrate compliance with this Standard.

### Comment

	Yes	No	N/A
<b>11.2</b> Do the laboratory reports include the following elements:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>11.2.1</b> Case identifier?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>11.2.2</b> Description of evidence examined?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>11.2.3</b> Description of technology?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>11.2.4</b> Locus or amplification system?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>11.2.5</b> Results and/or conclusions?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>11.2.6</b> A quantitative or qualitative interpretative statement?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>11.2.7</b> Date issued?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>11.2.8</b> Disposition of evidence?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>11.2.9</b> Signature and title, or equivalent identification, of the person accepting responsibility for the content of the report?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

### Discussion

The laboratory must generate sufficient documentation for each technical analysis to support the reported conclusions such that in the absence of the analyst who reported the analysis, another qualified analyst could evaluate and interpret the resulting data.

For Standard 11.2.4, the name of an amplification system (PCR test kit) may be used as long as the laboratory documents the loci characterized in the kit used.

The data generated by the analysis may be considered the results and may include the analyst's evaluation of the results. The quantitative or qualitative interpretation provides a statement of the weight of the conclusion.

One person shall accept responsibility for the content of the report. A secure electronic signature is considered equivalent identification.

### Comment

		Yes	No	N/A
<b>11.3</b>	Does the laboratory maintain the confidentiality of reports, case files, DNA records, and databases, except as otherwise provided by applicable state or federal law?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>11.3.1</b>	Does the laboratory have and follow written procedures to ensure the privacy of reports, case files, DNA records, and databases?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>11.3.2</b>	Does the laboratory have and follow written procedures for the release of reports, case files, DNA records, and databases in accordance with applicable state or federal law?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>11.3.3</b>	Does the laboratory release personally identifiable information in accordance with applicable state and federal law?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

## Discussion

The release of database information in Standard 11.3 is specifically limited to database applications and does not apply to forensic (anonymous) population databases that are used by casework laboratories to estimate allele frequency information.

## Comment

## Standard 12. Review

		Yes	No	N/A
<b>12.1</b>	Does the laboratory conduct and document administrative and technical reviews of all case files and reports to ensure that conclusions and supporting data are reasonable and within the constraints of scientific knowledge?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

**12.1.1** Are all technical reviews conducted by an individual that is, or has been, a qualified analyst in the methodology being reviewed?



## Discussion

**Administrative review** is an evaluation of the report and supporting documentation for consistency with laboratory policies and for editorial correctness.

**Technical review** is an evaluation of reports, notes, data, and other documents to ensure there is an appropriate and sufficient basis for the scientific conclusions.

The laboratory must conduct and document both administrative and technical reviews of all case files and reports prior to issuing the report.

An analyst who performs technical reviews on DNA casework shall be or have been an analyst qualified in the specific DNA methodology that the review encompasses.

**Technical reviewer** is an employee or contract employee who is a current or previously qualified analyst in the methodology being reviewed that performs a technical review of, and is not an author of, the applicable report or its contents.

**Methodology** is used to describe the analytical processes and procedures used to support a DNA-typing technology: for example, extraction methods (manual vs. automated), quantification methods (slot blot, fluorometry, real-time); typing test kit; and platform (capillary electrophoresis, real-time gel and end-point gel systems).

The technical reviewer must be proficiency-tested semiannually to the extent to which he or she performs casework. A qualified analyst proficiency-tested in the specific DNA methodology is qualified to serve as a technical reviewer without needing to take an additional proficiency test as a technical reviewer.

An analyst whose sole responsibility is technical review must be qualified under Standard 5.4 and its subsections to the extent of his or her interpretative role as a technical reviewer. Additionally, an analyst whose sole responsibility is technical review must be proficiency-tested in technical review.

The administrative reviewer is not required to be a current or former qualified DNA analyst.

This Standard is intended for data generated within the DNA laboratory. **The review of data generated external to the laboratory is governed by Standard 17.**

## Comment



	Yes	No	N/A
<b>12.2</b> Does the laboratory document the completion of the technical review of forensic casework, and does it include the following elements:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>12.2.1</b> A review of all case notes, worksheets, and electronic data (or printed electropherograms/images) that support the conclusions?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>12.2.2</b> A review of all DNA types to verify that they are supported by the raw or analyzed data (electropherograms or images)?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>12.2.3</b> A review of all profiles to verify correct inclusions and exclusions (if applicable) as well as a review of any inconclusive result for compliance with laboratory guidelines?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>12.2.4</b> A review of all controls, internal lane standards, and allelic ladders to verify that the expected results were obtained?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>12.2.5</b> A review of statistical analysis, if applicable?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>12.2.6</b> A review of the final report to verify that the results/conclusions are supported by the data?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
a. Does the report address each tested item or its probative fraction?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>12.2.7</b> For verification of CODIS eligibility. Has there been verification that all profiles entered into CODIS are eligible and have the correct DNA types and correct specimen category?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>12.2.7.1</b> Prior to upload to or search of SDIS, have the following been verified for DNA profiles:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
a. Eligibility for CODIS?	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>	
b. Correct DNA types?	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>	
c. Appropriate specimen category?	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>	

- 12.2.7.2** Prior to entry of a DNA profile into a searchable category of SDIS, were the following criteria verified by two concordant assessments by a qualified analyst or technical reviewer: ☒ ☐ ☐
- a. Eligibility for CODIS? Yes ☒ No ☐
- b. Correct DNA types? Yes ☒ No ☐
- c. Appropriate specimen category? Yes ☒ No ☐

## Discussion

Final reports of forensic casework shall address each tested item or its probative fraction. Any stain, sample, or item on which an attempt is made to isolate DNA, regardless of the outcome or result, must be addressed in the final report. In the case of a differential extraction, the laboratory will describe what it considers to be the probative fraction and the probative fraction must be included in the final report.

The laboratory shall have a written procedure detailing the elements of its technical review including how the completion of the technical review will be documented. The laboratory's technical review procedures of forensic casework must include each of the above elements.

Prior to the upload or search of a profile at SDIS, DNA profiles must be verified for eligibility for CODIS, correct DNA types, and appropriate specimen category. For laboratories without an LDIS casework component, prior to entry of a profile into a searchable category at SDIS, the eligibility for CODIS, correct DNA types, and appropriate specimen category must be verified by another qualified analyst or technical reviewer at the SDIS laboratory.

Standard 12.2.7 and its subcategories shall be marked "N/A" for non-NDIS participating-laboratories.

## Comment

- |  | Yes                                 | No                       | N/A                      |
|--|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| <b>12.3</b> Does the administrative review include the following elements (any or all of which may be included within the technical-review process): | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |

- |               |   |                                     |                          |                          |
|---------------|---|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| <b>12.3.1</b> | A review of the case file and final report for clerical errors and for the presence and accuracy of the information specified in Standard 11.2? | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| <b>12.3.2</b> | A review of the chain of custody and disposition of evidence?   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| <b>12.3.3</b> | A procedure to document the completion of the administrative review?  | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |

## Discussion

**Administrative review** is an evaluation of the report and supporting documentation for consistency with laboratory policies and for editorial correctness.

The laboratory's administrative review procedures of forensic casework must include all of the above elements and may be included within the technical-review process. The review of the chain of custody and disposition of evidence shall be limited to the items received by the DNA laboratory.

## Comment

- |             |   | Yes                                 | No                       | N/A                      |
|-------------|---|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| <b>12.4</b> | Does the laboratory document the elements of a technical and administrative review?   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
|             | a. Are case files reviewed and documented according to the laboratory's procedures?   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| <b>12.5</b> | Does the laboratory have and follow a documented procedure to address unresolved discrepant conclusions between analysts and reviewers? | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| <b>12.6</b> | Does the laboratory have and follow a documented procedure for the verification and resolution of database matches?                     | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |

## Discussion

Laboratories must describe the method used for documenting the completion of technical and administrative reviews, as well as a procedure that defines the course of action necessary in the event of an unresolved discrepancy. Laboratories that include some or all of the administrative review elements listed in Standard 12.3 in their

technical review procedure also must document the completion of the administrative review.

To satisfy Standard 12.6, the laboratory must have and follow a documented procedure to evaluate and resolve candidate matches.

Standard 12.6 shall be marked “N/A” for non-NDIS-participating laboratories.

### **Comment**

	<b>Yes</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>N/A</b>
<b>12.7</b> Does the laboratory have and follow a program that documents the annual monitoring of the testimony of each analyst?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

### **Discussion**

The testimony of individuals who provide expert witness testimony as part of their current positions must be monitored at least once annually. Several methods of monitoring are possible, and laboratories may select an appropriate approach. Laboratories must define the elements and standardize the method for capturing information necessary to review an individual’s testimony. The testimony-monitoring report or results must be reviewed with the individual to identify areas of strengths and weaknesses. The laboratory shall maintain documentation of this monitoring process.

The laboratory must provide clear documentation identifying individuals who did not testify over the course of the year.

### **Comment**

## Standard 13. Proficiency Testing

		Yes	No	N/A
13.1	Do analysts, technical reviewers, technicians, and other personnel designated by the technical leader undergo semiannual external proficiency testing in each technology performed to the full extent in which they participate in casework?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

### Discussion

**Semiannual** is used to describe an event that takes place two times during one calendar year, with the first event taking place in the first six months of the calendar year and the second event in the last six months of the calendar year, and where the interval between events is at least four months and not more than eight months. The program shall be administered in an open proficiency-testing format. The results shall be submitted to the proficiency-test provider in order to be included in the provider's published external summary report.

An external proficiency test is defined as a test obtained from an approved proficiency-test provider. The laboratory must not have access to the proficiency-test results until all participants have completed the test.

All analysts, technical reviewers, technicians, and other personnel designated by the technical leader, must be externally proficiency-tested semiannually, in each DNA technology to the full extent in which they perform casework examinations.

**Technology** is used to describe the type of forensic DNA analysis performed in the laboratory, such as RFLP, STR, YSTR, or mitochondrial DNA. It is permissible for multiple technologies to be reported on a single proficiency test. However, all individuals must be tested semiannually in each technology performed to the full extent to which they participate in casework. For example, for individuals qualified in multiple technologies, each such individual must be externally proficiency-tested in each technology semiannually. All applicable samples in a single proficiency test shall be worked for each technology.

There are no proficiency test requirements for individuals who function solely as technical leaders or casework CODIS administrators.

### Comment

		Yes	No	N/A
13.1.1	Are individuals using both manual and automated methods proficiency-tested in each, at least once per year, to the full extent in which they participate in casework?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
13.1.2	Have newly qualified individuals entered the external proficiency-testing program within six months of the date of their qualification?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
13.1.3	Has the laboratory defined, documented, and consistently used the date that the proficiency test is performed as the received date, assigned date, submitted date, or due date?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
13.1.4	Except as provided in Standard 13.1.4.1, has each analyst been assigned and completed his or her own external proficiency test?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	13.1.4.1 If a team approach is used, have all analysts, technicians, and technical reviewers been proficiency-tested according to Standard 13.1?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
13.1.5	Has the typing of all CODIS core loci or CODIS core sequence ranges been attempted for each technology performed as applicable?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
13.1.6	Does the laboratory maintain the following records for proficiency tests:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	13.1.6.1 The test-set identifier?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	13.1.6.2 Identity of the analyst, and other participants, if applicable?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	13.1.6.3 Date of analysis and completion?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	13.1.6.4 Copies of all data and notes supporting the conclusions?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	13.1.6.5 The proficiency test results?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	13.1.6.6 Any discrepancies noted?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	13.1.6.7 Corrective actions taken?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
13.1.7	Does the laboratory include, at a minimum, the following criteria for evaluating proficiency test results:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	13.1.7.1 Evaluation:			
	a. Are all reported inclusions correct?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	b. Are all reported exclusions correct?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

- |                     |  |                                     |                          |                                     |
|---------------------|--|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| c.                  | Are all reported genotypes and/or phenotypes correct or incorrect according to consensus results or within the laboratory's interpretation guidelines?   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/>            |
| <b>13.1.7.2</b>     | Are results that are reported as inconclusive or not interpretable consistent with written laboratory guidelines?  | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/>            |
| <b>13.1.7.2.1</b>   | Has the technical leader reviewed any inconclusive result for compliance with laboratory guidelines?   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/>            |
| <b>13.1.7.3</b>     | Have all discrepancies/errors and subsequent corrective actions been documented?   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/>            |
| <b>13.1.7.4</b>     | Have all final reports been graded as satisfactory or unsatisfactory?  | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/>            |
| <b>13.1.7.4.1</b>   | When a final report was graded satisfactory, was it shown that no analytical errors were observed for the DNA profile typing data?   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/>            |
| <b>13.1.7.4.1.1</b> | If present, were administrative errors and corrective actions documented?  | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/>            |
| <b>13.1.8</b>       | Have all proficiency-test participants been informed of their final test results, and has this notification been documented?   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/>            |
| <b>13.1.9</b>       | Has the technical leader been informed of the results of all participants, and has this notification been documented?  | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/>            |
| a.                  | If applicable, did the technical leader inform the casework CODIS administrator of all nonadministrative discrepancies that affect the typing results and/or conclusions at the time of discovery? | <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |

## Discussion

Laboratories that routinely employ a team approach for conducting DNA examinations (such as several technicians, each performing a separate, dedicated aspect of the DNA process on evidentiary materials) may similarly employ a team approach for performing proficiency tests.

Laboratories that have both manual and automated methods shall proficiency test each individual who is qualified in both manual and automated in each method at least once per year to the full extent in which they participate in casework. For example, if an individual is qualified in both manual and automated methods for DNA extraction in casework, then the individual must be proficiency tested in each method at least once per year to the full extent in which he or she participates in casework. If a laboratory

has multiple manual and/or automated methods, the individual must be proficiency tested on at least one of the manual methods and one of the automated methods per year. This does not preclude the possibility that both methods may be administered on a single proficiency test.

Newly qualified analysts must enter into the proficiency test cycle within 6 months of qualification by performing the extraction methods (manual vs. automated), quantification methods (slot blot, fluorometry, real-time); typing test kits; and platforms (capillary electrophoresis, real-time gel and end-point gel systems) to the full extent of his or her participation in casework analyses. If the analyst was qualified in multiple extraction methods (manual/automated), quantitation methods, typing test kits, and/or platforms, all methods must be addressed between the most immediate proficiency test (within 6 months) and the following proficiency test cycle.

Currently qualified analysts who become qualified in additional extraction methods (manual vs. automated), quantification methods (slot blot, fluorometry, real-time); typing test kits; and/or platform (capillary electrophoresis, real-time gel and end-point gel systems) must be proficiency tested on the additional methods in his/her next scheduled proficiency test.

Laboratories that have more than one platform shall proficiency test each individual that is qualified in more than one platform at least once per year to the full extent in which they participate in casework. For example, if an individual is qualified in both capillary and gel-based platforms, then the individual must be proficiency tested on each platform at least once per year to the full extent in which he or she participates in casework. This does not preclude the possibility that multiple platforms may be administered on a single proficiency test.

Laboratories that have more than one amplification test kit shall proficiency test each individual that is qualified in more than one amplification test kit once per year to the full extent in which he or she participates in casework. For example, if an individual is qualified in two different amplification test kits, each containing all of the CODIS core loci, then the individual must be proficiency tested with each amplification test kit once per year to the full extent in which he or she participates in casework.

For an individual qualified in multiple amplification test kits or systems for a specific technology, the individual must be proficiency tested on each amplification test kit or system over the course of the year. **However, the individual must be proficiency tested on all the CODIS core loci and/or core sequence ranges for each semiannual proficiency test cycle.** This requirement to be semiannually proficiency tested on all the CODIS core loci or core sequence ranges only applies to an analyst who is qualified in an amplification kit or combination of kits that possess all of the CODIS core loci or core sequence ranges. This does not preclude the possibility that multiple amplification test kits may be administered on a single proficiency test. For mtDNA proficiency testing, a test system consists of any combination of primers selected based upon the nature of the sample to analyze the CODIS core sequence range along with the polymerase, buffers, and dNTPs required for the amplification.



Laboratories that use a team approach in casework analysis may use this approach in their required semiannual proficiency testing; however each individual shall be proficiency tested at least once per year in each amplification test kit for DNA typing, platform, and an automated and/or manual (as applicable) method to the full extent of his or her participation in casework. Individuals using a team approach are still required to participate in semiannual external proficiency testing in each technology performed.

Each proficiency test must include testing for all CODIS core loci and/or CODIS core sequence ranges for each of the technologies performed.

It shall be the technical leader's responsibility to determine whether an error in interpretation or typing shall be classified as an analytical error or not, based on review of the analytical data to ensure consistency with laboratory interpretation guidelines. A satisfactory grade is attained for a proficiency test when there are no analytical errors for the DNA profile-typing data. The occurrence of administrative errors and corrective actions shall be documented. If no administrative errors or corrective actions have occurred, Standard 13.1.7.4.1.1 shall be marked "N/A."

It shall be the technical leader's responsibility to review and document that any inconclusive results are in compliance with laboratory guidelines.

The laboratory must have and use a documented program for evaluating proficiency-testing data as listed in Standard 13.1.7. Each participant shall be informed of his or her final test results, and the notification shall be documented.

The technical leader shall be informed of the results of all participants and shall be responsible for informing the casework CODIS administrator of all non-administrative discrepancies that affect the typing results and/or conclusions at the time of discovery. If nonadministrative discrepancies did not occur, Standard 13.1.9.a shall be marked "N/A."

## **Comment**

**Standard 13.1.9a was marked N/A because no nonadministrative discrepancies that affect the typing results and/or conclusions were observed.**

	Yes	No	N/A
<b>13.2</b> Does the laboratory use an external proficiency-test provider(s) that is in compliance with the current proficiency-testing manufacturing guidelines established by the American Society of Crime Laboratory Directors/Laboratory Accreditation Board or is in compliance with the current International Organization for Standardization?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

## Discussion

An external proficiency-test provider must demonstrate compliance with the current proficiency-testing manufacturing guidelines established by the American Society of Crime Laboratory Directors/Laboratory Accreditation Board. Alternatively, the external proficiency-test provider can demonstrate compliance with the International Organization for Standardization (ISO) ISO/IEC 17043: 2010.

## Comment

## Standard 14. Corrective Action

	Yes	No	N/A
<b>14.1</b> For a corrective action plan:			
a. Has the laboratory established and followed a corrective action plan that addresses discrepancies detected in proficiency tests and casework analysis?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
b. Does the corrective action plan, at a minimum, address the following:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
1. Define what level/type of discrepancies are applicable to this practice?	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>	N/A <input type="checkbox"/>
2. Identify (when possible) the cause of the discrepancy?	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>	N/A <input type="checkbox"/>
3. Effect of the discrepancy?	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>	N/A <input type="checkbox"/>
4. Corrective actions taken?	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>	N/A <input type="checkbox"/>

5. Preventative measures taken (where applicable) to minimize its reoccurrence?

Yes ☒ No ☐ N/A ☐

6. Is documentation of all corrective actions maintained in accordance with Standard 3.2?

Yes ☒ No ☐ N/A ☐

**14.2** Prior to implementation do all corrective actions have the documented approval of the technical leader? ☒ ☐ ☐

### Discussion

This standard addresses only those corrective actions resulting from DNA casework or DNA proficiency tests. The elements listed may be assessed through a review of existing laboratory documentation.

To successfully satisfy Standard 14.1.b, the laboratory must demonstrate compliance with all of the subcategories of Standard 14.1.b.

### Comment

## Standard 15. Audits

		Yes	No	N/A
<b>15.1</b>	Has the laboratory been audited annually in accordance with the FBI DNA Quality Assurance Standards?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	For this audit, has the laboratory maintained documentation that the auditor(s):	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	a. Is qualified?	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>	
	b. Is a current or previously qualified analyst in the laboratory's current DNA technologies and platform?	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>	
<b>15.2</b>	Has an external audit been conducted at least once every two years by a second agency?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

	For this audit, has the laboratory maintained documentation that the auditor(s):	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	a. Is qualified?	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>	
	b. Is a current or previously qualified analyst in the laboratory's current DNA technologies and platform?	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>	
<b>15.2.1</b>	Has the laboratory maintained audit documentation of those individuals (i.e., casework CODIS administrator, technical leader, and analysts) that have had their education, experience, and training qualifications evaluated and approved during two external audits?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>15.2.2</b>	Has the laboratory maintained the documentation for those validations previously evaluated and approved during one external audit?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>15.3</b>	For internal audits, has the laboratory maintained documentation that the auditor(s):	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	a. Is qualified?	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>	
	b. Is a current or previously qualified analyst in the laboratory's current DNA technologies and platform?	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>	
<b>15.4</b>	Have the internal and/or external audits performed pursuant to Standard 15.1 been conducted using the FBI DNA Quality Assurance Standards Audit Document in effect at that time?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>15.5</b>	Have internal and external DNA audit documents and, if applicable, corrective action(s) been submitted to the technical leader for review to ensure that findings, if any, were appropriately addressed?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>15.5.1</b>	For NDIS-participating laboratories, did the laboratory provide all external audit documentation and laboratory responses to the FBI within 30 days of the laboratory's receipt of the audit documents or report?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>15.6</b>	Are previous internal and external audit documents retained and available for auditor inspection?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

## Discussion

***Audit*** is an inspection used to evaluate, confirm, or verify activity related to quality.

In accordance with Standard 15.1, the required annual audit shall, at a minimum, occur once every calendar year and shall be at least 6 months but no more than 18 months apart. Annual audits may be conducted in an internal and/or external manner and, at the discretion of the laboratory, may consist exclusively of external audits and be performed on more than an annual basis.

Standard 15.2 requires that an external audit be performed at least once every two years and Standard 15.5.1 requires that **all** external audits performed on an NDIS laboratory, regardless of frequency, shall be submitted to the NDIS Custodian.

Only audits that were performed using the most current (as of the time of the respective audit) FBI Quality Assurance Standards Audit Document shall be eligible for compliance with Standards 15.1 and 15.4.

Audit teams may consist of one or more individuals.

Standards 15.1, 15.2 and 15.3 are a self-verification by the auditor(s) to ensure that the auditor, or the auditing team, consists of appropriately qualified individuals. This certification should be obtained and documented prior to the beginning of the audit and maintained by the laboratory. Regardless of the audit (internal or external), it is the laboratory's responsibility to ensure that there is at least one person that is, or has previously been, a qualified analyst for each specific DNA technology (***technology** is used to describe the type of forensic DNA analysis performed in the laboratory, such as RFLP, STR, YSTR, or mitochondrial DNA*) performed and that there is at least one person who is a qualified auditor on the audit team. This may be accomplished by having a single auditor who meets all of the specified qualifications or through a combination of the various members of a multiperson audit team. **These requirements are applicable to audits performed on or after July 1, 2009.**

**Technical leaders and analysts who were appointed or hired prior to July 1, 2009, will be assessed according to the educational requirements of the FBI Quality Assurance Audit Document dated July 1, 2004. Technical leaders, casework CODIS administrators, and analysts appointed or hired on or after July 1, 2009, will be assessed according to the educational requirements of the FBI Quality Assurance Audit Document dated July 1, 2009.**

In accordance with Standards 15.2.1 and 15.2.2, when documentation of the required reviews has been memorialized in previous external audit documents, the auditor(s) is not required to perform additional review with respect to the personnel or validations that were previously reviewed and documented except for training in new methodologies and/or technologies by previously qualified personnel. However, this in no way prohibits the auditor from performing such additional reviews as that auditor(s) may deem appropriate or necessary.

The two independent external auditor approvals of personnel referenced in Standard 15.2.1 are not transferable and are only valid within the laboratory or laboratory system for which those personnel are employed at the time of the approvals.

Standard 15.2.2 is only applicable to those methodologies that are currently used by the laboratory. ***Methodology*** is used to describe the analytical processes and procedures used to support a DNA-typing technology: for example, extraction methods (manual vs. automated), quantification methods (slot blot, fluorometry, real-time); typing test kit; and platform (capillary electrophoresis, real-time gel and end-point gel systems).

The written report should be prepared by the auditor(s) and sent to the laboratory within 30 days of the audit. The audit document report consists of the completed audit document checklist, with any areas of noncompliance listed under the Findings section of Appendix A. All findings must be clearly identified and referenced to the appropriate Standard. **Recommendations must not be included in the Audit Document Report.**

The laboratory must ensure that within the Response section of Appendix A, an adequate response detailing any incorporated corrective action, if appropriate, has been generated with regard to all findings. A laboratory's written course of action or response to the findings in an audit document report also should be maintained as part of the Audit Document Report.

Prior audit document reports must be available to the auditor(s) as a measure of the laboratory's response to previous findings. It is critical that findings identified in a previous audit document report be thoroughly addressed and resolved (if possible) within the DNA laboratory's capabilities.

To fulfill the requirements associated with Standard 15.5, the laboratory must show evidence of a response and/or corrective action to all findings detailed during the previous audit.

To comply with Standard 15.5.1, it is incumbent on the NDIS laboratory to document for each external audit, the date that the external audit document report was received from the auditor(s) and the date that the laboratory sent the external audit documentation and laboratory responses to the FBI. The laboratory response may include a notification to the NDIS Custodian if the laboratory needed to request an extension of time for sending the required audit documentation. For non-NDIS laboratories, the response to Standard 15.5.1 shall be marked "N/A."

## **Comment**

## Standard 16. Safety

		Yes	No	N/A
16.1	Does the laboratory have and follow a documented environmental health and safety program that includes, at a minimum, the following:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
16.1.1	A bloodborne pathogen and chemical hygiene plan?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
16.1.2	Documented training on the bloodborne pathogen and chemical hygiene plan?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
16.2	Has the laboratory's environmental health and safety program been reviewed annually?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
a.	Has such review been documented?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

### Discussion

To fulfill the requirements for Standard 16.1, the laboratory must demonstrate compliance with each of the subcategories of Standard 16.1.

All information addressing environmental health and safety must be current and available to laboratory staff. This information must be updated to reflect changes in a technical procedure (e.g., radioisotopes) or the remodeling of laboratory space (e.g., changed evacuation plans) that may have an effect on the laboratory's environmental health and safety program.

To fulfill the requirements for Standard 16.2, the laboratory must demonstrate that the review ensures that all environmental health and safety practices are appropriate and contemporary.

### Comment

## STANDARD 17. Outsourcing

		Yes	No	N/A
17.1	Has the vendor laboratory complied with the FBI Quality Assurance Standards for Forensic DNA Testing Laboratories and the accreditation requirements of federal law?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
17.1.1	Has the NDIS laboratory that outsources DNA sample(s) for entry into or searching in CODIS required and maintained the following documentation from the vendor laboratory:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	a. Compliance with the FBI Quality Assurance Standards for Forensic DNA Testing Laboratories?			
	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>			
	b. Compliance with the accreditation requirements of federal law?			
	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>			
17.2	Except as provided in Standard 17.2.1, since the laboratory's last external audit, did the NDIS laboratory's technical leader document and maintain the approval of the technical specifications of the outsourcing agreement before it was awarded?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
17.2.1	For a vendor laboratory that is performing forensic DNA analysis for a law enforcement agency or entity other than the NDIS laboratory, was documented approval obtained by the vendor laboratory from the technical leader of the NDIS laboratory, accepting ownership of the DNA data generated, prior to the initiation of analysis?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
17.3	Did the NDIS laboratory accept profiles generated by a vendor laboratory for upload to CODIS?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	a. Prior to the NDIS laboratory's uploading or accepting data to upload to CODIS from any vendor laboratory or agency, did the technical leader of the NDIS laboratory document the prior approval of the technical specifications of the outsourcing agreement and/or document the approval of acceptance of ownership of the DNA data?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
17.4	Does the NDIS laboratory have and follow a procedure to verify the integrity of the data received from a vendor laboratory through the performance of a technical review?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>



<b>17.5</b>	Prior to the search of DNA data in SDIS, did an analyst, casework CODIS administrator, or technical reviewer employed by an NDIS participating laboratory review the DNA data to verify specimen eligibility and the correct specimen category for entry into CODIS?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>17.6</b>	Prior to the upload of the data generated by the vendor laboratory to SDIS or the reporting of search results, did an NDIS laboratory perform a technical review of the vendor laboratory's data?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	a. Was the technical review performed by an NDIS laboratory analyst or technical reviewer who is qualified, or was previously qualified, in the technology, platform, and typing amplification test kit used to generate the data and who participates in an NDIS laboratory's proficiency-test program?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>17.6.1</b>	Do the technical review procedures include, at a minimum, the following elements:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	<b>17.6.1.1</b> A review of all DNA types to verify that they are supported by the raw and/or analyzed data?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	<b>17.6.1.2</b> A review of all associated controls, internal lane standards and allelic ladders to verify that the expected results were obtained?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	<b>17.6.1.3</b> A review of the final report (if provided) to verify:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	a. That the results/conclusions are supported by the data?			
	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>			
	b. That each tested item (or its probative fraction) submitted to the vendor laboratory is addressed?			
	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>			
	<b>17.6.1.4</b> Verification of the DNA types, eligibility, and the correct specimen category for entry into CODIS?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>17.7</b>	For an on site visit:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	a. Does the NDIS laboratory have and follow a procedure for performing an on-site visit?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	b. Does the procedure include, at a minimum, the following elements?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>17.7.1</b>	A documented on-site visit prior to the initiation of analysis?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

- 17.7.1.1** ☒ ☐ ☐  
a. Has the on-site visit been performed by the technical leader or designated employee of an NDIS laboratory that uses the same technology, platform, and typing amplification test kit;  
or  
b. Has an on-site visit performed by a designated FBI employee been accepted by the technical leader?
- 17.7.2** If the NDIS laboratory's outsourcing agreement extended beyond one year, was an annual on-site visit conducted? ☒ ☐ ☐
- 17.7.2.1** If an on-site visit conducted by the FBI, or another NDIS laboratory was used by the NDIS laboratory, did the technical leader document the review and acceptance of that on-site visit? ☒ ☐ ☐

### Discussion

**Non NDIS-participating laboratories shall demonstrate compliance with Standard 17 if any of the criteria of ownership are, or may become applicable. Except as provided below, failure to comply with Standard 17 by an NDIS-participating laboratory or non NDIS-participating laboratory will permanently preclude the entry, searching or uploading of the outsourced DNA data into CODIS.**

***Outsourcing*** is the utilization of a vendor laboratory to provide DNA services in which the NDIS-participating laboratory takes or retains ownership of the DNA data for entry into CODIS, when applicable. Outsourcing does not require the existence of a contractual agreement or the exchange of funds.

***Ownership*** occurs when any of the following criteria are applicable:

1. The originating laboratory will use any samples, extracts, or materials from the vendor laboratory for the purposes of forensic testing (i.e., a vendor laboratory prepares an extract that will be analyzed by the originating laboratory);
2. The originating laboratory will interpret the data generated by the vendor laboratory;
3. The originating laboratory will issue a report on the results of the analysis; or
4. The originating laboratory will enter or search a DNA profile in CODIS from data generated by the vendor laboratory.

The **Standard 17 review** is the technical review required by Standards 17.4 and 17.6 for DNA data that has been outsourced. This Standard 17 review is to be distinguished from the administrative and technical reviews required by Standard 12. For outsourced

*DNA data, the vendor laboratory is responsible for conducting the administrative and technical reviews required by Standard 12.*

*A **vendor laboratory** is a governmental or private laboratory that provides DNA analysis services to another laboratory or agency and does not take ownership of the DNA data for purposes of entry into CODIS.*

Compliance with Standard 17 is required when the laboratory outsources any DNA-related services for which the laboratory will take or retain ownership or when the laboratory will take or retain ownership of data from any other law enforcement agency or entity.

Compliance with Standard 17.1.1 through 17.6.2.1 is not required when the laboratory outsources a specific DNA analysis using a technology that the laboratory is not qualified to perform or when the laboratory will not take or retain ownership of the data. If these are the only circumstances whereby a laboratory outsources DNA analyses, then those criteria of Standard 17 shall be marked "N/A."

Compliance with Standard 17 is required of a vendor laboratory whenever the vendor laboratory performs DNA analysis pursuant to any request from a laboratory, law enforcement agency, or any other entity and it may be reasonably anticipated that ownership of the results of such an analysis may subsequently be taken or retained at some time by a laboratory.

For vendor laboratories, Standards 17.1.1, 17.2, 17.3, 17.4, 17.5, 17.6 and its subcategories, and 17.7 and its subcategories shall be marked "N/A."

To comply with Standard 17.1, a vendor laboratory must comply with the most current FBI Quality Assurance Standards for Forensic DNA Testing Laboratories in their entirety, as applicable, and the accreditation requirements of federal law.

Laboratories that have entered into an outsourcing agreement or that have accepted data from a vendor laboratory shall maintain documentation of the vendor laboratory's external audit document report, the vendor laboratory's responses, and/or follow-up actions to any findings detailed in the report.

To minimize the redundancy of multiple external audits of the same vendor laboratory over the course of a year, the laboratory may elect to accept for that year audit documentation generated from another external audit conducted (pursuant to the requirements of Standard 15) on the vendor laboratory. The audit documentation must include the audit document report, the vendor laboratory's responses, and/or follow-up actions to any findings detailed in the report. Such documentation or copies must be retained by the laboratory.

For outsourcing agreements that involve a contractual relationship awarded prior to July 1, 2009, FBI Quality Assurance Standards Audit Document Standards 17.2, 17.2.1,

17.7.1, and 17.7.1.1 should, until the end of the contracted period (to include any contractually authorized extensions), be marked as follows:

A contractual agreement awarded prior to July 1, 2009, and in effect at the time of the audit, where the laboratory has not met the minimum Standards shall be marked as "N/A." Standards marked N/A should include an explanatory comment to the effect that (for example), "The contractual outsourcing agreement with [name of vendor laboratory]\_\_\_\_\_ was in effect prior to July 1, 2009, and has an expiration date of \_\_\_\_\_."

A contractual agreement awarded prior to July 1, 2009, and in effect at the time of the audit, where the laboratory has met the minimum Standards shall be marked "Yes."

Standard 17.2 applies to those laboratories that have entered into a contractual agreement with a vendor laboratory since their last external audit.

For Standard 17.2.1, documentation will need to be retained demonstrating the date on which the laboratory provided approval to the vendor laboratory for the technical specifications to be used prior to the vendor laboratory's initiating analysis. If the laboratory has accepted data from a vendor laboratory, without the prior approval being given to that vendor laboratory, a "No" shall be marked for Standard 17.2.1. Approval could be in the form of an e-mail, documented phone call, etc. This Standard also applies to data generated by a vendor laboratory when there is no existing outsourcing agreement, which includes contractual agreements, between the vendor and the laboratory accepting the data. If the NDIS laboratory has not received or approved the initiation of data analysis by a vendor laboratory intended for upload into CODIS, this Standard shall be marked "N/A."

To comply with Standard 17.2.1, when a vendor laboratory is performing forensic DNA analysis for a law enforcement agency or entity other than the NDIS laboratory, it is incumbent on the vendor laboratory to maintain the dated, documented approval obtained from the technical leader of the NDIS laboratory that has agreed to accept ownership of the DNA data, as well as the date that the vendor laboratory first initiated analysis for a specific case or set of cases. This Standard is assessed through the examination of the documents specified above. If the vendor laboratory has not performed work on any samples intended for upload into CODIS that would require the prior approval by an NDIS laboratory, this Standard shall be marked "N/A."

To comply with Standard 17.3, it is incumbent on the NDIS laboratory to maintain the dated, documented prior approval of the technical specifications of the outsourcing agreement (reference Standard 17.2) and/or documented prior approval of the acceptance of ownership of the DNA data (reference Standard 17.2.1) by the NDIS laboratory's technical leader as well as the date that the NDIS laboratory first uploaded DNA data, or first accepted DNA data for upload to CODIS. Standard 17.3 is not

applicable to requests for the searching of DNA data for investigative purposes between NDIS laboratories that do not involve outsourcing agreements.

For outsourcing agreements that involve a contractual relationship awarded prior to July 1, 2009, FBI Quality Assurance Standards Audit Document criteria 17.3a shall be marked "N/A", if the laboratory has not met the criteria. Standards marked "N/A" should include an explanatory comment to the effect that (for example), "The contractual outsourcing agreement with [name of vendor laboratory]\_\_\_\_\_ was in effect prior to July 1, 2009, and has an expiration date of \_\_\_\_\_." If the NDIS laboratory accepted data from a vendor laboratory or agency and such data was accepted for upload into CODIS without the prior approval by the technical leader of the technical specifications of the outsourcing agreement between the NDIS laboratory and the vendor or agency, criteria 17.3a shall be marked "No."

All reviews associated with Standards 17.4 and 17.6 must be sufficient to thoroughly assess the integrity of the vendor laboratory's data.

***Analyst*** (or equivalent role, position, or title as designated by the Laboratory Director) is an employee or contract employee, that has successfully completed the laboratory's training requirements for casework sample analysis, passed a competency test, and has entered into a proficiency testing program according to these Standards. This individual conducts and/or directs the analysis of forensic samples, interprets data, and reaches conclusions. An employee or contract employee may be employed by an NDIS laboratory.

***Platform*** is the type of analytical system utilized to generate DNA profiles, such as capillary electrophoresis, real-time gel, and end-point gel instruments or systems.

***Technical reviewer*** is an employee or contract employee who is a current or previously qualified analyst in the methodology being reviewed that performs a technical review of, and is not an author of, the applicable report or its contents. An employee or contract employee may be employed by an NDIS laboratory.

***Technology*** is used to describe the type of forensic DNA analysis performed in the laboratory, such as RFLP, STR, YSTR, or mitochondrial DNA.

***Test kit*** is a preassembled set of reagents that allows the user to conduct a specific DNA extraction, quantification, or amplification.

In the event that an NDIS laboratory chooses to search outsourced DNA data in SDIS prior to its completion of the Standard 17 review, Standard 17.5 requires that an analyst, CODIS administrator, or technical reviewer of the NDIS laboratory must verify CODIS eligibility and the correct specimen category for such DNA data. The outsourced DNA data will have been technically reviewed by the vendor laboratory in accordance with Standard 12. Thus, in order to search this outsourced DNA data in SDIS prior to the NDIS laboratory's completion of the Standard 17 review (Standard 17.6), the NDIS

laboratory must, at a minimum, verify the correct specimen category and CODIS eligibility before searching that DNA data in SDIS.

To comply with Standard 17.6, the review of a vendor laboratory's data shall be performed by an analyst or technical reviewer employed by an NDIS laboratory (includes employee and contract employee) who is qualified or previously qualified in the technology, platform, and typing amplification test kit used to generate the data. This technical reviewer must participate in an NDIS laboratory's external proficiency-testing program to the full extent in which he or she participates in casework and the review of the outsourced data. For example, an analyst or technical reviewer participates and is proficiency-tested on casework using one type of amplification test kit and performs the technical review of outsourced casework which was analyzed using a different technology, platform and/or amplification test kit. Such analyst or technical reviewer must also be proficiency-tested on the technology, platform and/or amplification test kit used by the outsourcing laboratory to the extent he/she participates in or performs the technical review of the outsourced casework. The NDIS laboratory must also maintain the proficiency test records and qualifications of any technical reviewer(s) that participate in the review associated with Standard 17.6.

To satisfy the requirements of Standards 17.6.1 and 17.7, the laboratory must demonstrate compliance (as applicable) with each of the respective subcategories.

Standard 17.6.1.3 shall be marked "N/A" if the laboratory does not receive a final report from the vendor laboratory in accordance with their outsourcing agreement.

***On-site visit*** is a scheduled or unscheduled visit to the vendor laboratory work site by one or more representatives from an NDIS participating laboratory who is(are) a qualified or previously qualified DNA analyst(s) in the technology, platform and typing amplification test kit used to generate the DNA data, or designated FBI employee(s), to assess and document the vendor laboratory's ability to perform analysis on outsourced casework.

To comply with Standard 17.7.1, an on-site visit must be performed prior to the vendor laboratory's initiating work on casework, whether performed as a part of a contractual agreement or as a part of an agreement to accept data outside of an existing contractual agreement, regardless of the number of samples or cases being accepted. The laboratory shall retain documentation demonstrating the date the on-site visit was performed, a summary of the visit, and the documentation of the personnel who performed the on-site visit. While an on-site visit is not required if an individual is only providing technical review services for the NDIS laboratory, the NDIS laboratory's technical leader shall evaluate how and where such services are being performed and document their approval to ensure compliance with these Standards. For example, if the technical reviewer will not be performing the technical review services at the NDIS laboratory, the technical leader will want to know where the services will be performed and the security precautions in place to safeguard the confidentiality of the information being reviewed. The technical leader will want to ensure that only authorized persons have access to the information being reviewed if such information is taken outside the controlled NDIS laboratory environment.

Standard 17.7.2 is applicable when an outsourcing agreement has been extended (e.g., extensions, renewals or re-award) and the technical specifications (e.g., technology, platform and typing amplification test kit) used to generate the DNA data have not changed. If an outsourcing agreement was in force with the specific vendor laboratory in an essentially consistent, continuous manner (with a delay not to exceed six months), it is not required that an additional, initial on-site visit be performed, as required for new outsourcing agreements in Standards 17.7.1. and 17.7.1.1.

It is noted that an on-site visit is different from an external audit and does not necessarily require that an external audit (***audit** is an inspection used to evaluate, confirm, or verify activity related to quality*) be performed during an on-site visit.

In addition to the technical leader (or designee) performing an on-site visit, the laboratory may elect to accept information/documentation generated from an on-site visit conducted of the vendor laboratory by an NDIS laboratory using the same technology, platform, and typing amplification test kit as long as it was conducted within the past twelve months. Alternatively, the technical leader of the NDIS participating laboratory may accept an on-site visit conducted by a designated FBI employee.

To comply with Standard 17.7.2.1, a laboratory accepting an on-site visit from an NDIS laboratory or the FBI shall have documentation demonstrating its review and approval of the on-site visit, the date the on-site visit was performed, a summary of the visit, and the documentation of the personnel who performed the on-site visit.

## **Comment**

## **Appendix A: Findings and Responses**

To be completed by the audit team (Findings) and laboratory (Responses).

Auditors shall reference any Standard found to be in non-compliance in the Findings below. Following the Standard, a detailed description of the non-compliance shall be provided.

Comments and/or recommendations shall **not** be included in Appendix A.

Additional pages may be attached, as needed.



## **Finding:**

### **Standard 9.5 and sub-categories 9.5.3 and 9.5.3.1**

**In order to properly monitor contamination during the extraction, the negative extraction control has to be treated the same as and in the most sensitive volume of the extraction set.**

**Through a review of the laboratory's procedure DNA Extraction Using the EZ1 Advanced XL (see below) and interviews with the technical leader and laboratory staff, samples required to be extracted in multiple tubes may be concentrated into one tube, using a microcon. Only one negative extraction control tube, however, is created to monitor a sample that has been extracted in multiple tubes and concentrated into one final tube. Staff is trained to apply this procedure in casework.**

#### ***DNA Extraction Using the EZ1 Advanced XL***

##### ***5.8 Concentration of Extracted DNA***

***NOTE: This procedure may be used if (1) the original final volume of the DNA extract, based on the training and experience of the Forensic Scientist, leaves the extract too diluted to obtain a DNA profile or (2) if the sample amount for an item required the use of multiple tubes and the final product shall be concentrated into one final tube. If the final volume is less than the final volume of the associated negative extraction control, the control shall also be concentrated using the steps below.***

**Since the total volume of reagents added to the sample during the extraction in multiple tubes is several times the volume added to the single negative extraction control tube, any reagent contaminant introduced to the sample tubes during extraction will be several times more concentrated in the final sample tube than in the associated negative extraction control. Accordingly, a reagent contaminant present in the sample may not be detected in the associated negative extraction control under these conditions.**

**Responses:**

## APPENDIX B – Notification Form for Technical Leader Contingency Plan

To be completed by the laboratory only in the event of a vacancy in the technical leader position when there is no qualified individual available to serve as the technical leader.

This form shall be used to document various actions relating to a vacancy in the technical leader position in the event that the laboratory does not have an individual qualified to serve as technical leader of the laboratory. Under those circumstances, in accordance with the FBI Quality Assurance Standards, the FBI's NDIS Custodian shall be notified of such vacancy and provided with the laboratory's contingency plan within 14 days of the vacancy.

Date technical leader position vacated	Date FBI contacted	Name of FBI personnel contacted	Date contingency plan submitted to the FBI (must be within 14 days of the vacancy)	Date FBI approval received

Contingency plan attached:

FBI conditions for approval attached, if applicable:

Date new casework started:

--

Laboratory: \_\_\_\_\_

Signed by: \_\_\_\_\_  
(Name and Signature of Person Completing Form)

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

## Appendix C – Auditor Self-Certification for QAS Audits

Section 1 – to be completed by the laboratory being audited (use additional blank sheets if necessary):

Laboratory being audited: \_\_\_\_\_ As of [date] \_\_\_\_\_

Technologies currently in use: \_\_\_\_\_

Platforms currently in use: \_\_\_\_\_

Validations needing to be memorialized: \_\_\_\_\_

Outsourcing agreements in place or in process: \_\_\_\_\_

The laboratory being audited may request documentation for the information reported in Section 2 below.

Section 2 – to be completed by the auditor who will sign the attestation statement below the questions and (a) for external audits, return to the laboratory prior to the scheduled audit date; or (b) for internal audits, maintain in the laboratory's files.

Auditor Qualifications:

Name of Auditor: \_\_\_\_\_

Auditor's Employer: \_\_\_\_\_

Auditor's Title or Position: \_\_\_\_\_

Qualified Auditor<sup>2</sup>: Yes No (Circle One)

Year Completed FBI DNA Auditor Class: \_\_\_\_\_

Current or Previously Qualified DNA Analyst: Yes No (Circle One)

Current or Previously Qualified in Casework, Database Analysis, or Both<sup>3</sup>:

Casework Database Both (Circle One)

Technologies Currently or Previously Qualified In (e.g., STR, mtDNA) (Please List):

\_\_\_\_\_

Platforms Currently or Previously Qualified In (e.g., Gel based/CE)

(Please List): \_\_\_\_\_

---

**I verify that:**

**I understand the requirements of Standard 15.2<sup>4</sup> ; and**

**I have no conflicts of interest with the laboratory being audited; and**

**The information contained in Section 2 above is correct.**

**Signed By** \_\_\_\_\_ **Date** \_\_\_\_\_

---

<sup>2</sup> A Qualified Auditor is a current or previously qualified DNA analyst who has successfully completed the FBI DNA Auditor training course.

<sup>3</sup> If the laboratory being audited performs both casework and database analyses, then the audit team or auditor must be qualified in both casework and database analyses.

<sup>4</sup> Standard 15.2 requires that "at least once every two years, an external audit shall be conducted by an audit team comprised of qualified auditors from a second agency(ies) and having at least one team member who is or has been previously qualified in the laboratory's current DNA technologies and platform."

## **Appendix D – Personnel Meeting Minimum Education, Experience, and Training Qualifications As Assessed By External Audit**

To be completed by the audit team.

In accordance with Standards 15.1 and 15.2.1, this form shall be used to document the evaluation and approval of analysts, casework CODIS administrators and technical leaders during an external audit. Section 1 is for documenting personnel who have received two successive separate external audit approvals of their education, experience, and training qualifications. Section 1 should be used to document all individuals who have received two successive separate audit approvals of their education, experience, and training qualifications, regardless of whether the individual is still employed by the laboratory. The date of the prior audit approvals should be noted in this Section, when known.

Section 2 is for documenting personnel who are receiving the **first** external audit approval of their education, experience, and training qualifications.

### **Section 1 documents those personnel who have received two successive external audit approvals of their education, experience, and training qualifications.**

---

#### **Section 1. (a) – Approvals Between July 1, 2004 and June 30, 2009**

**Laboratory personnel who have been evaluated after July 1, 2004, and approved under two successive, separate external audits as meeting the education, experience, and training qualifications required under Standard 5.1 of the 1998 Quality Assurance Standards for Forensic DNA Testing Laboratories<sup>5</sup>:**

Analyst(s):

Technical Leader(s):

---

#### **Section 1. (b) – Approvals After July 1, 2009**

**Laboratory personnel who have been evaluated after July 1, 2009, and approved under two successive, separate external audits as meeting the education, experience, and training qualifications required under Standard 5.1 of the 2009 Quality Assurance Standards for Forensic DNA Testing Laboratories:**

Analyst(s):

---

<sup>5</sup> Laboratory personnel qualified by the technical leader on or before June 30, 2009, and evaluated after July 1, 2009, should be listed in this section.

Casework CODIS administrator(s):

Technical Leader(s):

---

**Section 2 documents those personnel who are receiving the first external audit approval of their education, experience, and training qualifications.**

**Section 2. (a) – For Personnel Appointed or Hired Prior to July 1, 2009**  
**Laboratory personnel who were appointed or hired prior to July 1, 2009, and approved for the first time as meeting the education, experience, and training qualifications required under Standard 5.1 of the 1998 Quality Assurance Standards for Forensic DNA Testing Laboratories:**

---

Analyst(s):

Technical Leader(s):

---

**Section 2. (b) – For Personnel Appointed or Hired On or After July 1, 2009**  
**Laboratory personnel who have been evaluated after July 1, 2009, and approved for the first time as meeting the education, experience, and training qualifications required under Standard 5.1 of the 2009 Quality Assurance Standards for Forensic DNA Testing Laboratories:**

Analyst(s):

Amanda Overman  
Melinda Wilson  
Wendell Ivory  
Lora Ghobrial  
Katie Harper  
Michelle Hardin  
Martha Traugott

Casework CODIS administrator(s):

Technical Leader(s):

Mackenzie DeHaan

## **Appendix E – Approved Validations**

This form may be used to document the evaluation and approval of validations by the external audit team according to Standard 8; this documentation to be maintained by the audited laboratory to comply with Standard 15.2.2.

---

To be completed by the audit team:

List of validations, if any, evaluated and approved during this audit:

Procedural Modification: Interchangeability of QIAgility Instrumentation for Quant/Amp Plate Preparation (Instruments “Kenny” and “Eric”)

Procedural Modification: Interchangeability of QIAgility Instrumentation for Quant/Amp Plate Preparation (Instrument “Kyle”)